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# ABBREVIATIONS

| **Abbreviation** | **Full Wording** |
| --- | --- |
| AEL | Atmospheric Emission License  |
| APP | Annual Performance Plan |
| DBSA | Development Bank of South Africa |
| GEYODI | Gender, Youth, Persons living with Disabilities |
| GPEMF | Gauteng Provincial Environmental Management Framework |
| GGT-2030 | Growing Gauteng Together – Our Vision 2030 |
| GPL | Gauteng Provincial Legislature |
| GWIS | Gauteng Waste Information System  |
| SOM | Sector Oversight Model |
| MTSF | Medium Term Strategic Framework (in this case, relating to 2019-2024 Term of Office) |
| NDP | National Development Plan |
| PGDP | Provincial Growth and Development Plan |
| POE | Portfolios of Evidence  |
| PwDs | Persons living with Disabilities |
| RISDP | Regional Indicative Strategic Development Plan |
| SDGs | Sustainable Development Goals |
| TER | Township Economy Revitalisation  |

# SUMMARY

| **ii. [Summary of the report]** |
| --- |
| **[Note: Only snapshots or “One-Liners” or Bullet Points of the most important / strategic achievements. No details please]** |
| ***Strategic Priorities****High level summary of Committee’s overall assessment of the Department / Entity achievement of relevant strategic priorities for the period under Review* |
| The Portfolio Committee noted that the financial performance of the Department of CoGTA recorded an underspending amounting to R160 265 000. 00 (83%) against an allocation of R193 037 000. 00 in the second quarter of 2023/24 FY. The variance (under-expenditure) reported is R32 772 000. 00 (17%). In terms of the total budget and the expenditure to date, the Department spent 44% of its annual budget for 2023/24 FY. The Department reported that Gauteng municipalities have been monitored on the functionality of the municipal councils and related committees.In terms of public participation, the Department reported that five (5) municipalities which are Tshwane, Ekurhuleni, Joburg, Lesedi and West Rand were supported with the Asset Based Community Development (ABCD) as part of local governance. Regarding enhancing revenue collection of Emfuleni and West Rand municipalities, the Department embarked on a drive to implement the simplified revenue plans. On risk assessment: as in the previous quarter, the Portfolio Committee noted the inability to effectively support municipalities to be financially viable; disruption of the administrative and political operations in hung municipalities; and inadequate support for municipal service delivery on infrastructure projects; as well as poor support for local economic development in municipalities.  |
| ***Department / Entity APP Achievement****An overall Summary of whether the Committee thinks the Department Non-Financial Performance is sound and prudent* |
| The Committee noted the following performance per programme:• Programme 1: Administration: Planned to 2 targets and 50% was achieved and 50% not achieved.• Programme 2: Local Governance: Planned 20 targets, achieved 18 targets (90%) of the 2 (10%) target was not achieved. • Programme 3: Urban Planning: Planned to achieve 12 targets, 92% (11) of the planned targets were achieved, and 8% (1) was not achieved.• Programme 4: Development and Planning: Planned to achieve 4 targets and all 4 were achieved (100%). • Programme 5: Traditional Leadership: Planned to achieve 1 targets and100% was achieved  |
| *An analysis on whether (and if so, the extent to which) the Department / Entity Programmes / Projects are indeed achieving its Strategic Objectives / Service Delivery Outcomes for the period under review.* |
| The Portfolio Committee noted that with regards to Municipal Finance Support- in assisting municipalities, in conjunction with the Gauteng Treasury, the Department established a Debt Management Committee (DMC), to facilitate a process of resolving Government Debts as an Inter-Governmental Relations intervention between the Organs of State. The DMC is responsible for implementing the Government Debt Strategy. The implementation of the Government Debt strategy is implemented through the Quarterly DMC engagements and weekly working session. The DMC managed to assist the CoT municipality to collect R 123 828 693.00 for August 2023 from Government Departments. |
| ***Department / Entity Project Management****Overall Summary on management and delivery of Department / Entity Projects* |
| The Department did not report on project management.  |
| ***Financial Performance****An overall Summary of whether the Committee thinks the Department / Entity Financial Performance is sound and prudent* |
| The Department’s total expenditure on the 2nd quarter was at 47%.Expenditure on Administration was at 50% during the quarter under review.Expenditure on Local Governance was at 45%. Expenditure on Development Planning was at 51%. Expenditure on Traditional Institutional Management was at 28%. Expenditure on compensation of employees was at 44%.Expenditure on goods and services was at 50%; andExpenditure on households was at 137% and machinery and equipment were at 37%. |
| ***Resolutions Management****An overall Summary of the Committee’s assessment of Department / Entity Resolutions Management* |
| The Department reported on the Committee Resolutions. The were 10 resolutions to be responded to.  |
| ***Petitions Management****An overall Summary of the Committee’s assessment of Department / Entity Petitions Management* |
| The Department did not report on the Petitions Management |
| ***Public Engagements****An overall Summary of the Committee’s assessment of Department / Entity Public Engagements* |
| * The Department did not report on the Public Engagements.
 |
| ***International Agreements****Overall Summary on Department / Entity implementation of relevant Internal Agreements / Treaties [Only if applicable] [Applicable only to OCPOL / OoP]* |
| [The department did not report on the international agreements] |
| ***GEYODI Empowerment****Overall Summary on Department / Entity achievement on actual GEYODI empowerment in communities* |
| The Department reported on GEYODI empowerment in Communities of 19 800 women in CWP and EPWP Programme; 5 307 Young People in CWP and EPWP; 536 Persons with Disabilities in CWP and EPWP |
| ***Fiduciary Compliance****Overall Summary on Department / Entity Compliance with fiduciary requirements* |
| The Department did not report on the Compliance with fiduciary requirements |
| ***Capacitated Department / Entity****An overall Summary of whether the Committee thinks the Department / Entity is adequately capacitated and resourced to carry out its functions and discharge its mandates* |
| The Committee has always been of the view that all Departmental critical vacant positions should be filled to ensure that service delivery imperatives are attained.   |
| ***Any other Committee Focus Area (if relevant / applicable and Requirement)****High level summary of any other area of Department / Entity performance with respect to its Quarter Report that the Committee wishes to report on, which is not already included in any of the above Focus Areas.* |
| The Department did not report any other Focus Areas |
| ***Summary of Committee Findings****High level summary of Committee findings. Broadly, which aspects do they relate to* |
| The Portfolio Committee noted that the Department overall expenditure is at 48% as at in the quarter under review. Expenditure on compensation of employees was at 39%.Expenditure on transfers to municipalities was at 42%.Expenditure on goods and services was at 67%. Machinery and equipment at 16% and expenditure on this item.  |
| ***Summary of Committee Recommendations****High level summary of Committee Recommendations. Broadly, which aspects do they relate to* |
| The Portfolio Committee recommends the following: * 1. Although payment delays were attributed to system downtime within SITA, the Portfolio Committee emphasizes the need for the Department to explore alternative ways to process payments of invoices within the stipulated period.
	2. The Department should ensure that a suitable service provider is appointed timeously to implement the two (2) powers and functions of the single-tier system of government pillars in line with the approved plans is attain in the third quarter of the current FY. The portfolio committee will monitor the progress that will be made in the third quarter in terms of project implementation.
	3. The Portfolio Committee should make an undertaking to summon the Department and the City of Tshwane in terms of Rule 148(8) and (13) to explain the challenges that are preventing the CoT to appoint supported and maintained functional ward committees. The absence of Ward Committee is a demonstration that the Communities of CoT are not represented in Council meetings.
 |

# INTRODUCTION

The Gauteng Department of Cooperative Governance and Traditional Affairs (CoGTA) is mandated to support local government by ensuring good governance, financial accountability, capacity building and institutional stability. This sphere of government is governed by the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa, Act No. 108 of 1996 and legislation meaning therefrom. Most significantly, the second quarterly performance report analysis of 2022/23 financial year (FY) forms part of implementing one of the aspects of the Portfolio Committee mandate of oversight and scrutiny wherein it examines “micro-progress” by the Gauteng Department of CoGTA. This analysis would focus on the five (5) Programmes, namely, programme one: administration; programme two: local governance; programme three: urban planning; programme four: development and planning as well as programme five: traditional institutional development. The analysis is divided into the following sections: oversight on strategic priorities, oversight on technical performance, oversight on budget expenditure, gender mainstreaming/cross-cutting issues, conclusion, and proposed questions to the Department.

# PROCESS FOLLOWED

The following is the process followed by the Portfolio Committee in considering the 2nd Quarterly performance report on the Department of CoGTA:

* On the 05th of November 2023, the Speaker formally referred the 2nd Quarterly performance report on the Department of CoGTA for the 2023/24 FY to the Portfolio Committee for consideration and reporting.
* On the 16th of November 2023, the Portfolio Committee Researcher presented an analysis of the **Gauteng Department of Co-operative Governance and Traditional Affairs** 2nd Quarterly performance report on the Department of CoGTA for the 2023/24 FY to the Portfolio Committee. The Portfolio Committee therefore acknowledged and considered Departmental questions emanating from the analysis.
* On the 16th of November 2023, the Department presented its 2nd Quarterly performance report on the Department of CoGTA for the 2023/24 FY to the Portfolio Committee.
* On the 23rd of November 2023, the Committee deliberated and unanimously adopted the **Gauteng** **Department of Co-operative Governance and Traditional Affairs** 2nd Quarterly performance report on the Department of CoGTA for the 2023/24 FY with amendments.

# OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY ACHIEVEMENT OF STRATEGIC PRIORITIES

| **1.1 THE DETAILS ON Department / Entity achievement on relevant Strategic Priorities for the period under review]** |
| --- |
| **NDP/MTSF Priority**Support the implementation of the policy, norms and standards of the Municipal Electricity Infrastructure Asset Management**Growing Gauteng Together 2030 Priority** Improve access to water, sanitation, electricity, housing and roads in deprived areas, including completing the urban renewal projects.**Outcome as per approved Dept Strat Plan**Number of municipalities supported to implement infrastructure service delivery programmes.**STRATEGIC REPORTING**Summarised Department’s Performance during Q2**Improved municipal performance in line with the B2B pillars.**Three (3) Municipalities (Mogale City LM, CoJ, and CoE) were supported to comply with Municipal Staff Regulations (MSR), Chapter 2 Staff Establishment. The support is targeted to all three (3) Metros in GP and two (2) Locals (Mogale City and Lesedi) Only CoT, CoE, and two (2) locals have submitted documents for validation. On the 13th of September 2023, the Pilot session started with Lesedi, and to be completed on the 13th of October 2023. Midvaal Local Municipality’s amended Staff Establishment Pack was received in July 2023. This was followed by a review and second analysis which was concluded by a signed letter from the MEC, dated 3rd of August 2023. Knowledge Sharing Sessions on the implementation of Chapter 2 MSR was held on 24th -25th of August and 5th -7th of Sept 2023 Training was held with Mogale City LM, City of Johannesburg, and City of Ekurhuleni.**Spatially Integrated Cities and Transformed Communities in the Gauteng Province.**Six (6) Municipalities were supported with technical skills capacity through multi-disciplinary teams (engineering and town planning).-Assisted LLM with WCDM strategy.-Draft tariffs are fully ready for submission to NERSA, once NERSA announces the municipal tariff increase value.- Completed Water Conservation Demand management plans for Merafong, Lesedi, Rand West City but implementation is partial due to funding.- Supply and demand requirements for HSD projects concluded for five (5) Locals focusing on Bulk Services Infrastructure needs for Human Settlements Developments.-Continued project and contract management support to major housing projects and capex projects in Merafong municipality (Projects include Kokosi roads & stormwater phase 4, Wedela WWTW, 007 Reservoir, Kokosi WWTW, Khutsong X5 & 6 roads & stormwater, Elijah Barayi Village, Kokosi Cemetery).- Assisted Emfuleni LM, Lesedi LM and Rand West City LM with the development of operation and maintenance manuals and operating. |

# 2. OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY ACHIEVEMENT OF APP TARGETS

| **2 [THE DETAILS ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY APP PERFORMANCE]** |
| --- |
| **Number of APP targets relevant for this Quarter** |
| 44 |
| **Number of APP targets for this Quarter that have been achieved during this Quarter** |
| A total 37 were achieved, and only 7 not achieved. |
| **Percentage of APP targets for this Quarter that have been achieved during this Quarter** |
| By end of quarter 2 overall performance was at 84% Achieved, 16% not achieved. |
| **Percentage of APP achievement for the previous Quarter (for Comparison)** |
| 90% |
| **Main areas in the APP that have experienced non-achievement or over achievement during this Quarter** |
| **The Committee noted the following on performance:*** **Administration-** five (5) targets were planned in the Annual Performance Plan (APP) 2023/24 for Q2. 80% (4) of the planned targets were achieved, and 20% (1) not achieved.
* **Local Governance Support**- twenty-four (24) targets were planned in the Annual Performance Plan (APP) 2023/24 for Q2. 83% (20) of the planned targets were achieved, and 7% (4) not achieved.
* **Development and Planning** - twelve (12) targets were planned in the Annual Performance Plan (APP) 2023/24 for Q2. 92% (11) of the planned targets were achieved, and 8% (1) not achieved.
* **Traditional Management –** three (3) targets were planned in the Annual Performance Plan (APP) 2023/24 for Q2. All planned targets were achieved.
 |
| **Main reasons provided by the Department / Entity for non-achievement or over achievement of its APP during this Quarter** |
| * The Departments could not fully achieve the app target to ensure 100% of undisputed invoices paid in 15 days. The reason for deviation was due to the system down time within SITA were runs are delayed as a result.

2 Powers and functions and the * The metros are currently not being supported due to a financial limitation in the contract. The team was appointed late and this led to the planning processes being finalized late.
* Engagement held with Sedibeng District Municipality (SDM) to discuss ethics roll-out and awareness raising and to share templates for policies. The reason for deviation was that the three (3) local municipalities were not available to meet due to competing priorities, but policies are in place as per the performance report.
* Awareness raising was conducted with City of Johannesburg S79 Committee on ethics and documents were shared. Engaged SDM was ready to set up ethics awareness for officials. The reason for deviation was that Local Municipalities (LMs) on awareness raising schedule, were not available.
 |
| **Measures in place (with timeframes) to correct the deviation in targets for this Quarter and to prevent recurrence of such or similar deviation** |
| * The remedial action is that the Department will engage with stakeholders to resolve the problem.
* The remedial action for not supporting 6 Metros with technical skills capacity through multi-disciplinary teams is that The Department is looking at other ways to support the metros that has no financial implications.
* The remedial action is that Ethically Aware Supply Initiative (EASI) training will be implemented in the third quarter.
 |
| **A summarized analysis on the Department / Entity performance per Programme for the period under review** |
| **PROGRAMME 1: ADMINISTRATION** This programme is critical towards the realisation of **Outcome 12: Efficient, effective and development oriented public service and an empowered, fair, and inclusive citizenship**.**Finance Management sub programme**The Department reported that 100% of invoices will be processed (paid) within fifteen (15) days as prescribed by the PFMA. However, the target was not achieved, and the attained percentage is 97%.  Although payment delays are attributed to system downtime within SITA, the portfolio committee should emphasize the need to explore alternative ways to process payments within the stipulated period.**PROGRAMME 2: LOCAL GOVERNANCE** This programme strives to consolidate the developmental state to enhance participatory governance and institutional stability, capacity building as well as local government capability to achieve its constitutional mandate. Essentially, the key objective of this programme is to pursue and facilitate viable and sustainable local governance.**Municipal administration sub programme** *Considering the political dynamics associated with coalition formations in Gauteng municipalities, this sub programme serves as a crucial component of restoring political and administrative stability.*  The Department reported that **two (2) powers and functions of the single-tier system of government pillars will be implemented in line with the approved plans** in the quarter under review. This was not achieved due to poor planning that has led to late appointments of the suitable service provider. It is indicated that the pillars will be implemented during the third quarter of the financial year. The Department also reported that the targeted **four (4) municipalities were not monitored on the extent to which anti-corruption measures are implemented** in the third quarter of 2023/24 FY, except for Sedibeng district. Furthermore, the Department reported that the three (3) local municipalities were not available to meet due to competing priorities. The Department had target eleven (11) municipalities on ethics and integrity management (training, awareness raising and workshop). However, this was not achieved and only the City of Johannesburg pulled through. The municipalities were not available, and the target was moved to the next quarter of the fiscal year.The Department stated that eight (8) municipalities have been supported to maintain functional ward committees except for the City of Tshwane for the quarter under review. It is cited that the deviation is due to a prolonged public participation that hinders the establishment of the ward committees. The portfolio committee should note this with concern and keep this item open from the house resolutions.**Capacity development sub programme** The Department did not place **two (2) experts in the municipalities to provide capacity support on labour and legal services.** This was due to the service provider that was not appointed on time and further delays were linked to Probity Auditors seeking advice from the Legal Service Unit (LSU) and the Gauteng Provincial Treasury (GPT).**PROGRAMME 3: DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING** **Disaster Management sub programme**The Department reports that **it did not complete the provincial stakeholder management and engagement** during the quarter under review. It is mentioned that the service providers did not declare their business interests.  **Infrastructure Planning sub-programme.**The Department states that **five (5) districts have been monitored on the spending of national grants** during the quarter under review. The aggregate CAPEX expenditure is R0, 8 billion (6%), out of a total annual budget of R14, 6 billion. Furthermore, the Department shares that **nine (9) municipalities have been supported to implement water security measures. The portfolio committee should note with concern that the Department has flagged both Emfuleni and Rand west municipalities for having shown the highest losses of 65% and 57% respectively.** **PROGRAMME 4: DEVELOPMENT AND PLANNING**The Department reported that there were two (2) targets for the quarter under review. This includes the establishment of the Commission to conduct the hearings with the applicants whilst reviewing the remaining applications. The Department also initiated one (1) ABDC training with traditional councils, royal families, and community leaders. There was a follow up session held in Hammanskraal, Sebothoma Hall. The Portfolio Committee draws inference that although the Department achieved thirty-six (38) of the forty-four (44) non-performance targets, the use of the SOM information level test tool has however exposed several policy weaknesses that should be accounted for. This encompasses the Department’s capability to build and support municipal infrastructure, public participation, co-operative governance and so forth.  |
| **Summarized information on any unplanned / emerging priorities reported on by the Department / Entity during the period under review** |
| There were none unplanned or emerging priorities reported for the quarter. |
| **Summarized information on how the Department maintains portfolios of evidence to verify its reported performance information** |
| The Department reported that the portfolio of evidence is submitted electronically and stored in folders. |

# 3. OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY PROJECT MANAGEMENT

| 1. **THE DETAILS ON [DEPARTMENT / ENTITY PROJECT MANAGEMENT]**
 |
| --- |
| The Department submitted a project for 1st quarter as per the Business Plan. |

# 4. OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

| **4 THE DETAILS ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE** |
| --- |
| **Actual amount (in Rands) allocated to the Department budget for this entire Financial Year**  |
| R 639 686 000. 00  |
| **Actual amount projected by the Department to be spent only during the Q under review** |
| R 193 037 000. 00 |
| **Actual amount (in Rands) spent by the Department only during the Q under review** |
| R 160 265 000. 00 |
| **Total actual amount (in Rands) spent by the Department / (Year to Date), i.e., from the beginning of this FY to the end of this Q under review** |
| R284 483 000. 00 |
| **Percentage (% of total budget allocation) of budget expenditure for this Q under Review only** |
| 44% |
| **Percentage (% of total budget allocation) of budget expenditure (Year to Date), i.e., from the beginning of this FY to the end of this Q under review** |
| 83% |
| **An analysis of how the % budget expenditure compares with the % APP achievement** |
| Budget expenditure is at 44% and APP achievement is at 84% |
| **If there was over / under spending of greater than 3% of projection, what were the main challenges that led to the over / under spending** |
| The underspending during the quarter under review is 6% which is mainly due delay to procurement processes for most of the projects that are still unfolding. These processes might be completed prior the end of the third quarter with implementation starting immediately.  |
| **Mitigating measures by the Department / Entity to remedy over / under expenditure** |
| The Department will Fastrack the procurement processes for the key projects are receiving priority and payment of pay progression  |
| **The Department achievement with respect to GEYODI responsive budgeting / procurement for the period under review** |
| 19% from women owned companies |
| **The Department achievement with respect to township economy / SMME / local procurement for the period under review** |
| **2.47%** |
| **A summary for the period under review with respect to payment of service providers within 15-30 days** |
| The department received a total 388 invoices in the period under review and the invoices were paid as follows:• 365 or 97% of invoices were paid within 15 days.• 23 or 3% of invoices were paid after 15 days before 30 days |
| **A summary for the period under review with respect to fruitless, wasteful and irregular expenditure** |
| The Department did not incur any fruitless, wasteful, and irregular expenditure during the period under review.  |
| **A summary for the period under review with respect to efficiency / value for money in all SCM / procurement processes** |
| The Department report did not report on SCM and or procurement processes. |
| **A summary for the period under review with respect to reduction of fraud and corruption in all SCM / procurement processes** |
| The Department did not report on the reduction of fraud and corruption in all SCM / procurement processes |
| **A summary for the period under review with respect to ongoing clean audits** |
| The Department did not report on the summary for the period under review with respect to ongoing clean audits |
| **A summary for the period under review with respect to spending on conditional grants (where applicable)** |
| The Department transferred an amount of R489 000 to municipalities for the Extended Public Works Programme (EPWP) and R5 2 million for fire and rescue services during the period under review. |
| **Program / Sub Programme level financial performance** |
| Programme 1: Quarter Expenditure – R97 579 000. 00 (60%)Programme 2: Quarter Expenditure – R121 707 000. 00 (40%)Programme 3: Quarter Expenditure – R55 865 000. 00 (37%)Programme 4: Quarter Expenditure – R9 332 000. 00 (48%) |

# 5. OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY RESOLUTIONS AND PETITIONS MANAGEMENT

|  |
| --- |
| **5.1 THE DETAILS ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY RESOLUTIONS MANAGEMENT** |
| **How many Responses / Actions to Resolutions were due by the Department / Entity during the Quarter under review** | **With respect to any and all Resolutions that were due in the Quarter under review, how many Resolutions have been successfully responded to by the Department / Entity** |
| 15 Resolutions  | The Portfolio Committee has received responses to all resolutions on the Budget Vote 7 202324 FY and the Focussed Intervention Study (FIS) report emanating from the 202122 FY annual report of the department of CoGTA. |
| **What is the Committees perception of the Quality and Timeliness of Department / Entity responses to Committee Resolutions** |
| The Portfolio Committee take seriously on the quality and timeliness of responses to the resolutions. The Portfolio Committee always reminds the Department through phone calls and emails on the outstanding resolutions. |
| **With respect to the Resolutions / Action due during the Quarter under review but still overdue, what reasons have been provided by the Department / Entity [with mitigating measures to submission]** |
| The Portfolio Committee has received all responses on resolutions from the Department.  |

|  |
| --- |
| **5.2 THE DETAILS ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY PETITIONS MANAGEMENT** |
| **How many Responses / Actions to Petitions due by the Department / Entity during the Quarter under review** | **With respect to any and all Petitions that were due in the Quarter under review, how many Petitions have been successfully responded to by the Department / Entity** |
| The Department did not report on Petitions Management |  |
| **What is the Committees perception of the Quality and Timeliness of Department / Entity responses to referred Petitions** |
| The Department did not report on Petitions Management |
| **With respect to the Petitions / Action due during the Quarter under review but not yet responded to by the Department / Entity, what reasons have been provided by the Department / Entity [with mitigating measures to submission]** |
| The Department did not report on Petitions Management |

# 6. OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

|  |
| --- |
| **6. THE DETAILS ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY PUBLIC ENGAGEMENTS** |
| **The steps / measures the Department has taken to meaningfully involve the public / stakeholders in the course of its work / service delivery, during the period under review** |
| The Department did not report on Public Engagements |
| **Summary of Public Education programmes of the Department during the period under review** |
| The Department did not report on Public Education |
| **Feedback sessions conducted by the Department during the period under review** |
| The Department did not report on Feedback sessions  |

# 7. OVERSIGHT ON INTERNATIONAL TREATISE / AGREEMENTS

| **7. DETAILS ON IMPLEMENTATION OF INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS / TREATIES [Applicable only to OCPOL / OoP]** |
| --- |
| The Department did not report anything on this line item |

# 8. OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY GEYODI EMPOWERMENT

| **8. DETAILS ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY GEYODI EMPOWERMENT** |
| --- |
| The Department did not report on GEYODI empowerment: |

# 9. OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY COMPLIANCE WITH FIDUCIARY REQUIREMENTS

| **9. [DETAILS ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY COMPLIANCE WITH FIDUCIARY REQUIREMENTS]** |
| --- |
| **GPL** | The 2nd Quarterly Report for Vote 7 was submitted to the GPL on the 05 November 2023 for further processing  |
| **Auditor General (AGSA)** | Total number of AGSA Requests for Information received from AGSA during this Quarter 0Total number of AGSA Requests for Information due during this Quarter 0Total number of AGSA Requests for Information responded to and submitted back to AGSA during this Quarter 0 |
| **Public Service Commission (PSC)** | Total number of PSC Requests for Information received from the PSC during this Quarter 0Total number of PSC Requests for Information due during this Quarter 0Total number of PSC Requests for Information responded to and submitted back to the PSC during this Quarter 0 |
| **Compliance with relevant fiduciary Legislation [e.g., PFMA]** | Compliant with the relevant fiduciary Legislation - PFMA |

# 10. OVERSIGHT ON A CAPACITATED PUBLIC SERVICE

| **10. THE DETAILS ON A CAPACITATED DEPARTMENT**  |
| --- |
| **Detailed information on the current vacancies (at all staff levels)** |
| 725 |
| **Current vacancy rate** |
| 90 |
| **Current acting positions (at all Staff levels)** |
| 8 |
| **Terminations during the period under review** |
| 15  |
| **New appointments during the period under review** |
| 8 |
| **Detailed information on the GEYODI / HDI empowerment for the period under review** |
|

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **ZERO (0)** | **AGE GROUP** |
| **18 - 25** | **26 - 35** | **Grand total**  | **% 18-25** | **% 26- 35** |
| 1 | 47 | **48** | 0,16% | 7,31% |
| **AFRICAN** | **COLOURED**  | **INDIAN** | **WHITE**  | **GRAND TOTAL**  |
| M | F | M | F | M | F | M | F | 100%  |
| 40,75% | 52,26% | 0,78% | 2,95% | 0,78% | 2,95% | 0,62% | 1,40% |
|  |  |  |  |

 |
| **Detailed information on any suspensions for the period under review** |
| 0 |

# 11. OVERSIGHT ON ANY OTHER COMMITTEE FOCUS AREA

|  |
| --- |
| **11. THE DETAILS ON ANY OTHER COMMITTEE FOCUS AREA [Only if relevant and applicable]** |
| *Any other area of Department / Entity performance with respect to its Quarter Report that the Committee wishes to report on, which is not already included in any of the above Focus Areas.* |
| N/A |

# 12. COMMITTEE FINDINGS / CONCERNS

| **12. DETAILED COMMITTEE FINDINGS / CONCERNS (on each specific Focus Area analysed above)** |
| --- |
| 1. Department could not process 100 % of invoices received from the service providers within a period of 15 days due to system downtime within SITA.
 |
| 1. Due to poor planning that has led to late appointments of a suitable service provider, the target of implementing the two (2) powers and functions of the single-tier system of government pillars in line with the approved plans was not achieved.
 |
| 1. The Portfolio Committee is gravely concerned that to date the City of Tshwane does not have supported and maintained functional ward committees.
 |

# 13. PROPOSED COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

| **13 [DETAILED COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS]** |
| --- |
| **Based on the information set out herein-above as well as the Committee Concerns, the Committee therefore recommends as follows:** |
| **Ref Number** | **Recommendation** | **Type of response expected** | **Due Date** |
| CoG/Q2PR/ 001 | Although payment delays were attributed to system downtime within SITA, the Department should put alternative mechanisms in place to ensure that the process of making payments of invoices within the stipulated period are in place. | Written Response should be forwarded to the GPL on the resolution as adopted by the House | 31/01/2024 |
| CoG/Q2PR/ 002 | The Department should ensure that a suitable service provider is appointed timeously to implement the two (2) powers and functions of the single-tier system of government pillars in line with the approved plans is attained in the third quarter of the current FY.The Portfolio Committee will monitor the progress that will be made in the third quarter in terms of project implementation.  | Written Response should be forwarded to the GPL on the resolution as adopted by the House | 31/01/2024 |
| CoG/Q2PR/ 003 | The Portfolio Committee should make an undertaking to summon the Department and the City of Tshwane in terms of Rule 148(8) and (13) to explain the challenges that are preventing the CoT to appoint supported and maintained functional ward committees.The absence of Ward Committee is a demonstration that the Communities of CoT are not represented in Council meetings. | Written Response should be forwarded to the GPL on the resolution as adopted by the House | 31/01/2024 |
|  |
| **Explanatory note on the reference numbers for Recommendations (ultimately Resolutions)*** Reference number is in the format: [A] / [B] / [C]
* [A] = The 3 letter Committee identifier. e.g., COGTA/eGOV can be “CHS”, SRAC can be “SRA”
* [B] = The 4-digit identifier for the SOM Imperative [e.g., Q1PR or Q2PR or FIS1 or APR, or BV. In this case, since this is a Q-Report template, it will either be Q1PR or Q2PR or Q3PR or Q4PR]
* [C] = The 3-digit number of the recommendation in this report [001,002,003 …, 00n]
 |
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# 15. ADOPTION

In terms of Rule 117 (2)(c) read together with 164, the CoGTA and E-Gov Portfolio Committee presents the Oversight Report on the 2nd Quarterly Performance Report of the Gauteng Department of CoGTA for the 2023/24 financial year and proposed recommendations for adoption.