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# ABBREVIATIONS

| **Abbreviation** | **Full Wording** |
| --- | --- |
| AFS | Annual Financial Statements |
| APP | Annual Performance Plan |
| GEYODI | Gender, Youth, Persons living with Disabilities |
| GGT-2030 | Growing Gauteng Together – Our Vision 2030 |
| GIFA | Gauteng Infrastructure Financing Agency |
| GPL | Gauteng Provincial Legislature |
| GPG | Gauteng Provincial Government |
| GPT | Gauteng Provincial Treasury |
| SOM | Sector Oversight Model |
| MTEF | Medium Term Expenditure Framework |
| MTSF | Medium Term Strategic Framework (in this case, relating to 2019-2024 Term of Office) |
| NDP | National Development Plan |
| PFMA | Public Finance Management Act |
| PGDP | Provincial Growth and Development Plan |
| PwDs | Persons living with Disabilities |
| RISDP | Regional Indicative Strategic Development Plan |
| SDGs | Sustainable Development Goals |
| … | … |

# SUMMARY

| **ii. [Summary of the report]** |
| --- |
| **[Note: Only snapshots or “One-Liners” or Bullet Points of the most important / strategic achievements. No details please]** |
| ***Strategic Priorities****High level summary of Committee’s overall assessment of the Department / Entity achievement of relevant strategic priorities for the period under Review* |
| [GPT responds to the following strategic priorities, that is, economic transformation and job creation and capable, ethical and developmental state in terms of the National Development Plan (NDP)/ Medium Term Strategic Framework (MSTF) and Growing Gauteng Together 2030 (GGT 2030), as well as economy, jobs and infrastructure with regards to GGT 2030. To this end, GPT achieved the following:* + MTEF budget was tabled in line with prescribed timeframes;
	+ The wage bill was maintained at 53% against the target of 60%;
	+ Cash disbursements to departments were maintained below provincial revenue streams; and
	+ The department monitored compliance to 30 days' payment of suppliers in all departments, especially the two departments, i.e. Health and Infrastructure Development.

In an endeavour to create jobs, GPT achieved the following:* The department placed 75 youth in development programmes.

 In regard to ensuring a capable, ethical and developmental state, GPT achieved the following pertaining to sustainable local government finances:* All 244 supplier invoices received in quarter 4 were paid within the prescribed timeline of 30 days to comply with the 30 days payment of policy requirement;
* Submissions were made of 3 IYM's for departments and 1 submission for entities;
* In supporting payment of suppliers on time, the department ensured that 94% of supplier invoices are submitted electronically against the target of 80%, thereby surpassing the set target;
* To increase compliance with legislated prescripts the department completed SCM risk compliance assessment for all 14 departments and for 8 entities;
* Emanating from the SCM compliance assessments, action plans were monitored and tracked for implementation in the 14 departments and 8 entities;
* The report monitoring spending directed to township suppliers was produced, including the report on sub-contracted contracts;
* 92% of audit reports were issued, with internal audit recommendations also tracked; and
* The department tracked all internal audit recommendations in departments and entities; and
* The SERO was tabled in line with prescribed timelines.

**GIFA**In contribution towards economic transformation and job creation GIFA reported on preparation of bankable projects for alternative financing mechanisms:* The private party and SANSA are finalizing the lease agreement for Innovation Hub: EB3. Financial close is expected by the end of June 2022 and construction to start soon after.
* The PPP Agreement and the due diligence are done on the Rooftop Solar PV project. There is protracted decision by ESKOM to approve the consent and Grid Connectivity Agreement for the George Mukhari Hospital (feeding off the Eskom grid). The next step is obtaining NERSA generation license and TAIII approval from National Treasury by end of Quarter 2 of 2022/23.
* The procurement process of Kopanong Precinct Project is at adjudication stage, whereby the Bid Adjudication Committee (BAC) will decide on the recommendations of the Bid Evaluation Committee (BEC) for the four clusters of the precinct. The department will apply for TAII(b) with National Treasury to approve the preferred bidders.]
 |
| ***Department / Entity APP Achievement****An overall Summary of whether the Committee thinks the Department / Entity Non-Financial Performance is sound and prudent* |
| **[Gauteng Provincial Treasury****Program 1: Administration**The Portfolio Committee is of a view that the GPT’s non-financial performance is sound and prudent as most performance targets were achieved.* 100% of invoices were paid within 30 days;
* Approved 2022/23 FY APP was submitted to GPL on due date;
* Approved 2022/2023 key Departmental Risk Register;
* Three workshops conducted;
* 48% of women appointed at SMS level, as opposed to the planned 50%; and
* 10% of youth placed in development programmes.

**Program 2 Sustainable Fiscal Resource Management**The Portfolio Committee is of a view that the GPT’s non-financial performance is somewhat sound and prudent as most performance targets were achieved apart from one.* Contrary to the planned expenditure of 60% or less on the GPG wage bill, 56% was expended;
* 1.2% increase in budget allocated to the non-personnel non-capital expenditure was realized, as opposed to the planned 7%;
* 1 spatially reference MTEF budget was tabled and 1 adjustment budget tabled (2nd adjustment); and
* 41% instead of 59% of assessment reports of the submitted planning reports completed within 10 working days;
* Cash disbursements to GPG Departments equivalent to appropriated funds;
* Revenue collected below the revenue target;
* Number of Provincial Gazettes issued on allocations to schools and hospitals;
* 1 IYM submitted for departments and 1 IYM submitted for entities; and
* SERO tabled in line with prescribed timeframes.

**Gauteng Infrastructure Financing Agency****Program 1: Administration**The Portfolio Committee is of a view that the GIFA’s non-financial performance is sound and prudent as all performance targets under this programme were achieved.* The risk management policy was implemented, thereby producing Quarterly Risk Reports and Monitoring of risk profile;
* Draft Strategic Risk Register for 2022/23 financial year was developed;
* The final 2022/23 APP was approved and submitted to the MEC, Office of the Premier and Legislature;
* Organization-wide M&E Framework was implemented, and Organizational Quarterly Performance Reports and Project Progress Reports were submitted to relevant structures;
* Audit Action Plan based on 2020/21 audit findings is being implemented;
* All planned Internal Audits up to Q4 have been completed;
* All existing contracts were managed in terms of deliverables vs payment;
* All submitted invoices from suppliers were paid within 30 days;
* HRMD Strategy was implemented;
* Organization-wide Communication and Stakeholder Relations Strategy was implemented;
* Draft of the revised Organization-wide Communication and Stakeholder Relations Strategy was developed; and
* The ICT Strategy was implemented. Producing the following, Steering Committee 3rd quarter meeting hosted, SharePoint Developed Launched, Training Provided to GIFA employees and all GIFA Users migrated to Office 365

**Program 2: Project Development and Compliance**The Portfolio Committee is of a view that the GIFA’s non-financial performance under this programme is not sound and prudent as not all performance targets were achieved.* Instead of sourcing one project, two infrastructure projects were sourced, that is, ORTIA SEZ Precinct 2 and ORTIA Springs Precinct and CoT AIDC Inland Port;
* Contrary to conducting 2 feasibility studies for Gauteng Provincial Legislature and Merafong Bio-energy and produce first reports, only one feasibility study is underway, and a progress report was produced;
* The feasibility study of CoJ Waste to Energy Project (AWTT) was revised and completed; and
* The identified project, Krugersdorp Game Reserve was not released to market.

**Program 3: Structured Finance**The Portfolio Committee is of a view that the GIFA’s non-financial performance is moderately sound and prudent as some performance targets were partially achieved.* The identified project, Krugersdorp Game Reserve was not released to market;
* Two bankability reports were produced for COJ AWTT Project and Rooftop Solar PV Project (Phase 1); and
* No 2 projects were facilitated to conclude financial close as planned.

**Gauteng Provincial Treasury Continued****Program 3: Financial Governance**The Portfolio Committee is of a view that the GIFA’s non-financial performance under this programme is exceptional as all performance targets were achieved, with pockets of overachievement.* A departmental 30-day suppliers’ payment compliance report produced; and
* 94% of supplier invoices submitted electronically instead of 80%.

**Program 4: Provincial Supply Chain Management**The Portfolio Committee is of a view that the GIFA’s non-financial performance is sound and prudent as all performance targets were achieved, with pockets of overachievement.* 14 SCM risk compliance assessments were completed for departments and 8 for entities;
* 100% of SCM risk compliance action plans were tracked within 14 departments and 8 entities;
* A report produced of 30% spend on township suppliers including those participating in the high value contracts;
* A report produced on subcontracted contracts captured on the SRM/ SAP system by GPG departments; and
* 3 training workshops provided to Gauteng township-based suppliers, as opposed to 1.

**Program 5: Municipal Financial Governance**The Portfolio Committee is of a view that the GIFA’s non-financial performance is sound and prudent as all performance targets were achieved, with pockets of overachievement.* 12 IGR engagements with stakeholders were conducted instead of the planned 3;
* 7 training initiatives were conducted for delegated municipalities in Gauteng, instead of 2;
* 8 assessments of compliance on MFMA by delegated municipalities were conducted;
* Assessment of 8 municipal adjustment budgets were conducted; and
* A publication on provincial on grants allocated to Gauteng Municipalities.

**Program 6: Gauteng Audit Services**The Portfolio Committee is of a view that the GPT’s non-financial performance is sound and prudent as some performance targets were not achieved.* It was envisaged that 85% of audit reports will be issued to departments and entities, but 92% were issued;
* 19 audit committee approved audit plans were issued to departments and entities; and
* 100% of of internal audit recommendations were tracked as implemented at departments and entities.]
 |
| *An analysis on whether (and if so, the extent to which) the Department / Entity Programmes / Projects are indeed achieving its Strategic Objectives / Service Delivery Outcomes for the period under review.* |
| **[Program 1: Administration**The aim of this programme is to provide effective leadership, management and administrative support to treasury programmes as well as to the Member of the Executive Council and the Accounting Officer. **The Portfolio Committee is of a view that this programme is not entirely meeting its strategic objectives, as not all its performance targets were achieved.** **Program 2 Sustainable Fiscal Resource Management**This programme aims to ensure effective and efficient administration of provincial and fiscal resources to the benefit to Gauteng’s citizens. **The Portfolio Committee is of a view that this programme is not meeting its strategic objectives, as most of its performance targets were not achieved.****Gauteng Infrastructure Financing Agency****Program 1: Administration**The purpose of this programme is to provide leadership, strategic management, communications and stakeholder management and administrative support to the GIFA. **The Portfolio Committee is of a view that this programme is meeting its strategic objectives, as all its performance targets were achieved.****Program 2: Project Development and Compliance**The purpose of this programme is to source infrastructure projects from sector departments, municipalities and provincial agencies through process and structures such as Gauteng Infrastructure Coordinating Council (GICC), MTEC, Premier Budget Council and engagements with accounting officers. **The Portfolio Committee is convinced that this programme is partially meeting its strategic objectives, as half of its performance targets were achieved.****Program 3: Structured Finance**The purpose of this programme is to structure finance for developed infrastructure projects and engage potential private and public funders with the aim of raising finance for the projects. **The Portfolio Committee is of a view that this programme is not wholly meeting its strategic objectives, as not all its performance targets were achieved.****Gauteng Provincial Treasury Continued****Program 3: Financial Governance**This programme seeks to promote accountability through substantive reflection of financial activities as well as compliance with financial norms and standards in PFMA compliant institutions. **The Portfolio Committee is of a view that this programme is exceedingly meeting its strategic objectives, as most of its performance targets were achieved with pockets of over achievement.****Program 4: Provincial Supply Chain Management**This programme aims to promote transparency and effective supply chain management in the province. **The Portfolio Committee is of a view that this programme is outstandingly meeting its strategic objectives, as most of its performance targets were achieved, with pockets of over achievement.****Program 5: Municipal** **Financial Governance**The purpose of this programme is to oversee, assist and support all delegated municipalities with the implementation of Municipal Financial Management Act (MFMA). **The Portfolio Committee is of a view that this programme is meeting its strategic objectives, as all its performance targets were sufficiently achieved with pockets of over-achievement.****[Program 6: Gauteng Audit Services**The programme’s objective is to enhance transparency, good governance and clean government by strengthening the internal control and regulatory environment in GPG departments. **The Portfolio Committee is of a view that this programme is somewhat achieving its strategic objectives, as not all its performance targets were achieved, however there was an incident of over-achievement.]** |
| ***Department / Entity Project Management****Overall Summary on management and delivery of Department / Entity Projects* |
| [Not Applicable for both GPT and GIFA] |
| ***Financial Performance****An overall Summary of whether the Committee thinks the Department / Entity Financial Performance is sound and prudent* |
| [**GPT****The Portfolio Committee noted that GPT was able to realize its strategic achievements within budget, therefore its financial performance is sound and prudent.** Of the appropriated funds amounting to R195 237 000, GPT spent R154 815 000 or 79% and achieved 85% of its planned performance targets. The 21% under expenditure was attributable to vacant post on the new approved structure not yet filled, projects such as automation of market price data collection, supplier development that are still on procurement stage, automation of financial statements project that is still to be finalized and outsourced specialized audits that are underway and still to realize expenditure.**GIFA**The Portfolio Committee noted that GIFA was able to realize 94% of its APP targets and 81% of the budget allocated for the quarter was utilized. **The Portfolio Committee noted that under expenditure was due to the delays in the conclusion of the organisational structure led to budget allocated for additional posts not being spent. The additional posts to the current structure were created in the interim to capacitate the vacancies for critical positions. The budget that was allocated for the Land Enhancement Project was not utilised.]**   |
| ***Resolutions Management****An overall Summary of the Committee’s assessment of Department / Entity Resolutions Management* |
| [The Committee noted that the responses of both GPT and GIFA to House resolutions are of good quality.] |
| ***Petitions Management****An overall Summary of the Committee’s assessment of Department / Entity Petitions Management* |
| [Not Applicable for both GPT and GIFA] |
| ***Public Engagements****An overall Summary of the Committee’s assessment of Department / Entity Public Engagements* |
| [GPT **School Readiness Campaign 2022 -** Gauteng Provincial Government’s Back to School Campaign, which was aimed at ensuring that learning and teaching takes place on the first which was aimed at ensuring that learning and teaching takes place on the first day of the school year, through deploying EXCO members to different schools around Gauteng. The MEC Finance was deployed to schools at Ekurhuleni Municipality**Date**: 12 January 2022**Time**: 07h15 -08h30**Venue**: Kenneth Masekela, High School**Date**: 12 January 2022**Time**: 09h00 -12h00**Venue**: Lefa Efa Secondary**Date**: 12 January 2022**Time**: 12h30 -13h50**Venue**: Kwa-Thema Stimu8lation Centre (ECD)**Supply Chain Management Learnership Graduation-** Gauteng Provincial Treasury in partnership with and the Transport Sector Education and Training Authority hosted a special graduation ceremony which aimed at capacitating supply chain management practitioners in municipalities.**Date**: 04 February 2022**Time**: 09h00-12h00**Venue**: Parktonian Hotel, Braamfontein **Sebokeng Youth Summit -** The GPT and e-Government initiated onsite Central Supplier Development (CSD) registration, e-invoicing, vendor verification and other government services with the aim of government's plan. to assist the alarming unemployment rate.**Date**: 16 February 2022**Time**: 09h00-15H00**Venue**: Ntabankulu Multi-Purpose Centre**Schools Renovation Programme -** MEC for Finance and e-Government Ms Nomantu Nkomo-Ralehoko together with TRON Nexus handed over newly renovated schools in Hammanskraal. The project began in 2019, culminated with renovations at Mmatso Primary School, Ralepego Primary and Ramabele Secondary School**Date**: 25 February 2022**Time**: 07h00-15H00**Venue**: Hammanskraal**Gauteng Provincial Budget -** The aim of the Budget Tabling was to present to the Gauteng Legislature how Gauteng uses public funds to transform the economic landscape and improve the lives of its citizens.**Date**:09 March 2022**Time:** 10h00 -11h30**Venue**: Gauteng Legislature**Ntirhisano Outreach -** It is aimed at providing platform for government in the GautengCity Region to assess service delivery and engage with residents to help them resolve their challenges. **Date**: 03 March 2022**Time**: 10:00 -12:30**Venue**: NICRO Centre, Soweto**Date**: 10 March 2022**Time**: 10:00 -12:30**Venue**: Rethabile Community Hall, Mamelodi**Date**: 11 March 2022**Time**: 10:00 -12:30**Venue**: Mamelodi West Community Hall**Date**: 18 March 2022**Time**: 10:00 -12:30**Venue**: Boipatong Community Hall, Vanderbijlpark**Date**: 31March 2022**Time**: 10:00 -12:30**Venue**: Simunye Community Hall, WestonariaGIFANot Applicable] |
| ***International Agreements****Overall Summary on Department / Entity implementation of relevant Internal Agreements / Treaties [Only if applicable] [Applicable only to OCPOL / OoP]* |
| [Not Applicable for both GPT and GIFA] |
| ***GEYODI Empowerment****Overall Summary on Department / Entity achievement on actual GEYODI empowerment in communities* |
| [GPTGender – female 60.03% (440/733) SMS female: 48% (28/58);Youth - 27.24% (185/733);Disabled - 2,05 (15/733); andSenior Citizens - 2.89% (19/733) age 60 and above.GIFANot Applicable] |
| ***Fiduciary Compliance****Overall Summary on Department / Entity Compliance with fiduciary requirements* |
| The Portfolio Committee noted that both GPT and GIFA did not report on compliance with relevant fiduciary legislation like PFMA. |
| ***Capacitated Department / Entity****An overall Summary of whether the Committee thinks the Department / Entity is adequately capacitated and resourced to carry out its functions and discharge its mandates* |
| **[GPT**The total number of posts in the organisational structure is 828 with 736 posts filled, 92 vacant posts, 3 acting positions, 13 terminations and 4 new appointments. The staff complement is constituted as follows:* African - 88.81% (651/733)
* Coloured - 5.32% (39/733)
* Indian 2.59% (24/733)
* White – 3.27% (24/733)

**The Portfolio Committee is of a view that the department would be adequately capacitated after the implementation of the new approved organizational structure.****GIFA**The total number of posts as per the structure of GIFA is 53, 46 posts are filled, and 7 posts are vacant. There is 1 acting position, 1 termination and no new appointments for the period under review. The staff complement is constituted as follows:* Youth = 34,7%
* Females = 55,3%
* Males = 46,6%
* Disability = 0%
* Number of SMS males = 66,66%
* Number of SMS females = 33,33%]
 |
| ***Any other Committee Focus Area (if relevant / applicable and Requirement)****High level summary of any other area of Department / Entity performance with respect to its Quarter Report that the Committee wishes to report on, which is not already included in any of the above Focus Areas.* |
| [Not applicable for both GPT and GIFA] |
| ***Summary of Committee Findings****High level summary of Committee findings. Broadly, which aspects do they relate to* |
| **Municipal Financial Governance*** + **[The Portfolio Committee is concerned that training offered by GPT may not be efficacious considering the acknowledgement by the MEC for CoGTA that 7 of Gauteng municipalities are on the verge of dysfunctionality.]**
 |
| ***Summary of Committee Recommendations****High level summary of Committee Recommendations. Broadly, which aspects do they relate to* |
| * + [**GPT should provide a detail of its training to municipalities and express a view about its efficacy supported by evidence considering the further deterioration of Gauteng municipalities into financial distress.**]
 |

INTRODUCTION

The constitutional powers given to Provincial Legislatures in Chapter 6, Section 114 (2) of *The* *Constitution of the Republic of South Africa* (1996) outlines that the provincial legislature must provide for mechanisms; to ensure that all provincial executive organs of state in the province are accountable to it; and also to exercise oversight over the provincial executive authority in the province[[1]](#footnote-2).

In line with the provisions of the Constitution, the Public Finance Management Act (PFMA), 1999 (*Amended by Act 29 of 1999*), is an important piece of legislation. The key objectives of this Act, among others, enable the public sector managers to: be more accountable; provide quality information on time; and to eliminate corruption and waste of public funds and misuse of assets.

The Finance Portfolio Committee, through the Sector Oversight Model (SOM), assesses the overall performance of the Department i.e. financial and non-financial, against set targets and expenditure patterns. SOM states that In Year Monitoring (IYM) through quarterly reports provide a committee with the information needed to monitor effective programme implementation[[2]](#footnote-3).

This report was developed in accordance with the Sector Oversight Model (SOM), the GPL Committees Oversight and Accountability Framework (COVAC) as part of the GPL Project on Standardization of Oversight, Accountability and Reporting in Gauteng (SOAR-GP)

# PROCESS FOLLOWED

The Speaker formally referred the 4th Quarter Performance Report of the GPT and its component Gauteng Infrastructure Financing Agency for the 2021/22 financial year to the Finance Portfolio Committee for consideration and reporting.

On 13 May 2022, the Committee Researcher tabled an analysis of the quarterly performance report. On Thursday, 26 May 2022, the GPT and GIFA, led by MEC N. Nkomo Ralehoko, presented the 4th Quarter Performance Report to the Portfolio Committee focusing on the departmental financial and non-financial performance.

Due to time constraints, the Portfolio Committee did not invite stakeholders to their meetings mainly to observe Committee proceedings and later make verbal or written submissions in line with the GPL public participation mandate and the Constitution of the Republic of South Africa.

On 10 June 2022, the Portfolio Committee deliberated and adopted the draft oversight report on the 4th quarter performance of GPT (inclusive of GIFA). Subsequently, the report was submitted to the Proceedings Unit for tabling and consideration by the House.

# OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY ACHIEVEMENT OF STRATEGIC PRIORITIES

| **1.1 THE DETAILS ON Department / Entity achievement on relevant Strategic Priorities for the period under review]** |
| --- |
| [**Program 1: Administration**The aim of this programme is to provide effective leadership, management and administrative support to treasury programmes as well as to the Member of the Executive Council and the Accounting Officer. Of the six performance targets under this programme, most performance indicators were achieved with the exception of one. **The Portfolio Committee noted that GPT intended appointing 50% of women at SMS level, on the contrary 48% of women were appointed at SMS level.** Failure to attain this performance target was attributed to 2 SMS female members resigning in the 4th quarter. **The Portfolio Committee noted with appreciation that the 2 vacant SMS posts would be advertised during the 1st quarter of 2022/23 financial year.** The other performance targets relate to 100% of invoices paid within 30 days; approved 2022/23 FY APP submitted to GPL on due date; approved 2022/2023 key Departmental Risk Register; three workshops conducted to promote awareness on Gender Based Violence, Femicide and LGBTQ; and 10% of youth placed in development programmes.**Program 2 Sustainable Fiscal Resource Management**This programme aims to ensure effective and efficient administration of provincial and fiscal resources to the benefit to Gauteng’s citizens.Under this program, the Portfolio Committee noted with appreciation that all performance targets earmarked for this quarter were achieved apart from one. The performance targets are associated with the spending of 56% on the GPG wage bill instead of the planned 60%, the tabling of one spatially reference MTEF budget, cash disbursements to GPG Departments equivalent to appropriated funds; a of Provincial Gazette issued on allocations to schools and hospitals; an IYM submitted for departments and entities alike; and SERO tabled in line with prescribed timeframes. Of importance, instead of assessing the planned 41% of the submitted planning reports completed within 10 working days, GPT assessed 59%. However, the Portfolio Committee noted that despite the relaxation of the Covid-19 regulations and their attendant lockdowns, the revenue collected was below the revenue target. The area of underperformance relates to non-adherence to the percent threshold set for the budget allocated for non-personnel non-capital expenditure. As opposed to the planned 7% increase in the budget allocated for non-personnel non-capital expenditure, 1.2% was realized. **The Portfolio Committee noted that** under-achievement is attributed to the fiscal consolidation embarked upon in 2021/22 financial year carried through the 2022/23 and 2023/24 financial years, with 2022/23 having the deepest cuts.However, GPT undertook that resources would be reprioritized towards non-personnel and non-capital expenditure.**Gauteng Infrastructure Financing Agency**This agency falls within the Sustainable Fiscal Resource Management Programme, however it is presented as a separate quarter performance report. The Gauteng Infrastructure Financing Agency (GIFA) endeavours to be a centre of excellence in the public sector in strategic infrastructure financing solutions. It also places emphasis on sound corporate governance in the Gauteng Fund Project Office (GFPO) in respect of effective and efficient resource management services. It also secures alternative finance sources, such as the creation of new revenue streams, thereby ensuring cost efficiencies and consolidating resources for economies of scale. This follows section 40 of the Public Finance Management Act, Act of 1999 (as amended by Act 29 of 1999). **Program 1: Administration**The objective of this programme is to provide leadership, strategic management, communications and stakeholder management and administrative support to the GIFA. The key business unit activities involve developing the strategic plan of the GIFA and monitoring its implementation, implementation of the communication strategy and ensuring strengthened relations with key stakeholders, building the capacity of GIFA and ensuring that GIFA becomes a centre of excellence through research and knowledge management. The programme consists of seven sub-programmes which are: Office of the Head of the GIFA; Marketing, Communications and Stakeholder Engagement; Financial Management; Information and Communication Technology; Facilities Management; and Human Resource Management.Of the 12 planned performance targets under this programme, GIFA achieved all performance targets. The performance targets include, implementing risk management policy; developing; developing draft strategic risk registers for the 2022/23 FY; submitting the final 2022/23 APP for tabling at the Legislature; implementing the organization-wide M&E Framework; implementing an action plan based on the 2020/21 audit findings; completing all planned Internal Audits up to Q4; managing all existing contracts in terms of deliverables vs payment; ensuring that all submitted invoices from suppliers were paid within 30 days; implementing the Human Resource Management and Development Strategy; developing organization-wide Communication and Stakeholder Relations Strategy; and implementing the ICT strategy. **The Portfolio Committee noted with appreciation that GIFA achieved all its performance targets under this programme.**]**Program 2: Project Development and Compliance**The GIFA reported that the purpose of this programme is to develop infrastructure projects from sector departments, municipalities and provincial agencies through process and structures such as Gauteng Infrastructure Coordinating Council (GICC), Premier Budget Council and engagements with accounting officers. The business unit is responsible for developing the projects into comprehensive business plans that are ready for funding and implementation. The key activities of the business unit involve identification of appropriate projects, pre-feasibility and feasibility assessments, risk assessment, development of business plans, commercial and operational due diligence. Of the 4 planned performance targets applicable to this programme for the period under review, GIFA achieved 2 performance target and partially achieved on another performance target. Under performance was registered under the following performance targets, completing two feasibility studies; and releasing one project to the market. **The Portfolio Committee noted that GIFA planned to complete two feasibility studies, that is, for the Gauteng Provincial Legislature and Merafong Bio-energy projects.** However only Gauteng Provincial Legislaturefeasibility study was completed, and the progress report was produced. Underperformance for the **Merafong Bio-energy** Project was attributed to process to the prolonged process of appointing the Transactional Advisor by African Development Bank. The Portfolio Committee noted that the Transactional Advisor was subsequently appointed, and the feasibility study will commence in April 2022.Furthermore, GIFA planned to release the Krugersdorp Game Reserve project to the market, however, the performance target was not realized. **The Portfolio Committee noted that the rationale for deviation was the the feasibility study recommends PPP procurement which requires several stages of approvals to release a project to market (e.g. Council approval, TVRI and TVRII(a).** To remediate under performance, GIFA indicated that it will follow the National Treasury’s PPP approval process in 2022/23 guided by TR16 regulations.Finally, GIFA reported progress with regard to the sourcing of two infrastructure projects, that is, ORTIA SEZ Precinct 2 and ORTIA Springs Precinct and CoT AIDC Inland Port. Progress pertaining to the feasibility study for Gauteng Provincial Legislature and reporting on its progress report was also recorded. Whilst a feasibility for the CoJ Waste to Energy Project (AWTT) was revised and completed.**Program 3: Structured Finance**The Portfolio Committee notes that the purpose of this programme is to structure finance for developed infrastructure projects and engage potential private and public funders with the aim of raising finance for the projects. The key activities in this programme involve ensuring that the project is bankable. With strategic objectives such as, to analyse and structure projects to bankability and funding and to fund projects through alternative sources of funding.The performance output for this programme was two performance targets, and only one performance target was realized. The performance target refers to producing a bankability report for 2 identified projects. **The Portfolio Committee noted with appreciation that GIFA produced a bankability report for COJ AWTT Project and Rooftop Solar PV Project (Phase 1).** GIFA intended ensuring the facilitation of the Rooftop Solar PV project to conclude financial close, however it was not achieved. Underperformance was attributable to protracted decision by ESKOM to approve the consent and Grid Connectivity Agreement for the George Mukhari Hospital (feeding off the Eskom grid) delayed conclusion of financial close. To mitigate under performance there has been constant engagement with ESKOM to fast-track the decision on George Mukhari Hospital. **The Portfolio Committee noted with appreciation that ESKOM has made an undertaking to finalise the approval process.**]**Program 3: Financial Governance**This programme seeks to promote accountability through substantive reflection of financial activities as well as compliance with financial norms and standards in PFMA compliant institutions. The Portfolio Committee noted that the two performance targets under this programme pertains to compliance with legislated prescripts. The performance targets relate to, producing a departmental 30-day suppliers’ payment compliance report; and percentage of supplier invoices submitted electronically. GPT achieved 100% with regards to producing departmental 30-day suppliers payment compliance report. The report reflects that the percentage of 30-day payment to suppliers excluding the Departments of Health and Infrastructure Development stood at 96% and 57% when included. While the percentage of 15-day payment to suppliers excluding the Departments of Health and Infrastructure Development stood at 86% and 31% when included. **The continuous non-compliance by the Departments of Health and Infrastructure Development with government’s 30-day payment to suppliers is noted, and the Portfolio Committee will continue monitoring.****About the submission of supplier invoices electronically, the Portfolio Committee noted with appreciation that 94% of supplier invoices were submitted electronically instead of 80%.** The electronic submission of supplier invoices is encouraged, by virtue of it being antagonistic to late and/or non-payment of suppliers.**Program 4: Provincial Supply Chain Management**This programme aims to promote transparency and effective supply chain management in the province.Of the five performance targets under this programme, all performance targets were achieved, namely, completing 14 SCM risk compliance assessments for departments, tracking 100% of SCM risk compliance action plans were within 14 departments and 8 entities, producing a report on 30% spend on township suppliers including those participating in the high value contracts, and producing a report on subcontracted contracts captured on the SRM/ SAP system by GPG departments. **The Portfolio Committee noted welcomed the provision of three training workshops to Gauteng township-based suppliers, as opposed to the planned one.** **Program 5: Municipal** **Financial Governance**This programme monitors the effective, efficient, sustainable financial management and financial reporting of all municipal fiscal resources for municipalities and municipal entities, and it coordinates the provisioning of capacity building. The purpose of this programme is to oversee, assist and support all delegated municipalities with the implementation of Municipal Financial Management Act (MFMA). **To this extent, the Portfolio Committee noted that all performance targets for this programme were achieved with pockets of excellence.** The performance targets include, conducting assessments on MFMA compliance by 8 delegated municipalities in Gauteng; conducting 8 assessments on municipal adjustment budgets; and producing a publication on provincial on grants allocated to Gauteng Municipalities. **The Portfolio Committee commended GPT for conducting 12 IGR engagements with relevant stakeholders as opposed to the planned 3.** The over-achievement was ascribed to the usage of virtual platforms, which enabled GPT to conduct more engagements. **The Portfolio Committee further noted with appreciation that 7 training initiatives were conducted for delegated municipalities in Gauteng, instead of 2.** **Aside from the positive uptake by municipalities of training initiatives conducted by GPT, the Portfolio Committee is concerned that training offered by GPT may not be efficacious considering the acknowledgement by the MEC for CoGTA that 7 of Gauteng municipalities are on the verge of dysfunctionality.** **Program 6: Gauteng Audit Services**The programme’s objective is to enhance transparency, good governance, and clean government by strengthening the internal control and regulatory environment in GPG departments.Of the three performance targets under this programme, all performance targets were achieved with pockets of over achievement. The performance targets are linked to the number of Audit Committee approved audit plans issued to departments and entities; and percentage of internal audit recommendations tracked at departments and entities. Over and above, GPT intended issuing 85% of audit reports to departments and entities, however it managed to issue 92%. **The Portfolio Committee welcomed the surpassing of the performance target under this programme and noted that GPT attributed the deviation to more reports being issued than initially planned.]** |

# 2 OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY ACHIEVEMENT OF APP TARGETS

| **2 [THE DETAILS ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY APP PERFORMANCE]** |
| --- |
| **Number of APP targets relevant for this Quarter** |
| [34 for GPT14 for GIFA] |
| **Number of APP targets for this Quarter that have been achieved during this Quarter** |
| [29 for GPT18 for GIFA] |
| **Percentage of APP targets for this Quarter that have been achieved during this Quarter** |
| [GPT - 85%GIFA - 89%] |
| **Percentage of APP achievement for the previous Quarter (for Comparison)** |
| [[GPT - 93%GIFA - 64%] |
| **Main areas in the APP that have experienced non-achievement or over achievement during this Quarter** |
| **[GPT**Non-achievement* Administration - 48% of women appointed at SMS level, as opposed to the planned 50%;
* SFRM - 1,2% increase in the budget allocated for non-personnel non-capital expenditure was not realized, as opposed to the planned 7%;

- Revenue collected below the revenue target;- 41% of assessment reports of the submitted planning documents completed within 10 working days, as opposed to the planned 59%; and* GAS – Of the planned 19 planned AG follow-up reports to be produced, only 15 were produced.

**Overachievements*** FG – targeted % of supplier invoices submitted electronically was exceeded;
* PSCM - number training workshops provided to Gauteng township-based suppliers exceeded;
* MFG - number of IGR engagements conducted with stakeholders exceeded;

 - number of raining initiatives conducted for delegated municipalities in Gauteng exceeded; and* GAS – targeted % of audit reports issued to departments and entities exceeded.

**GIFA**Non-achievementProject Development and Compliance * 1 feasibility study conducted as opposed to the planned 2;
* The identified project, namely, Krugersdorp Game Reserve, was released to the market; and

 Structured Finance* The identified project, Rooftop Solar PV did not conclude financial close.

**Overachievements*** None]
 |
| **Main reasons provided by the Department / Entity for non-achievement or over achievement of its APP during this Quarter** |
| **[GPT**Non-achievementAdministration * 2 SMS female members resigned in Q4;

SFRM * Under-achievement is attributed to the fiscal consolidation embarked on in 2021/22 financial year and was carried through the 2022/23 and 2023/24 financial years, with 2022/23 having the deepest cuts;
* Under collection of R304 million;
* 59% (10/17) of reports were assessed after 10 working days due to delays caused by client departments in resubmitting after providing additional information; and

GAS * Delays in responses to follow-up requests.

**Overachievements**FG * Covid enforced electronic submission preference;

PSCM * Increased demand for training workshops;

MFG * Usage of virtual platforms has enabled GPT to conduct more engagements; and

GAS* More reports were issued than initially planned.

**GIFA**Non-achievementProject Development and Compliance * The process to appoint the Transactional Advisor for the Merafong Bio-energy by African Development Bank was prolonged;
* The feasibility study for Krugersdorp Game Reserve recommended PPP procurement which requires several stages of approvals to release a project to market (e.g. Council approval, TVRI and TVRII(a); and

 Structured Finance* The protracted decision by ESKOM to approve the consent and Grid Connectivity Agreement for the George Mukhari Hospital (feeding off the Eskom grid) delayed conclusion of financial close.]
 |
| **Measures in place (with timeframes) to correct the deviation in targets for this Quarter and to prevent recurrence of such or similar deviation** |
| **[GPT**Administration * 2 Vacant SMS posts to be advertised during 2022/23 Q1 FY;

SFRM * Resources will be reprioritized towards non-personnel and non-capital expenditure;
* Figures are preliminary – changes are anticipated;
* The indicator for 2022/23 financial year has been revised to be aligned to the legislated timeframes of 30 working days instead of 10 days; and

GAS * To be finalised in Q1 of 2022/23 FY.

**GIFA**Non-achievementProject Development and Compliance * The Transactional Advisor was appointed for the Merafong Bio-energy, and the feasibility study will commence in April 2022.;
* GIFA to follow the National Treasury’s PPP approval process in 2022/23 guided by TR16 regulations.; and

 Structured Finance* There has been constant engagement with ESKOM to fast-track the decision on George Mukhari Hospital. ESKOM has made an undertaking to finalise the approval process.]
 |
| **A summarized analysis on the Department / Entity performance per Programme for the period under review** |
| [Refer to section ii] |
| **Summarized information on any unplanned / emerging priorities reported on by the Department / Entity during the period under review** |
| [Not Applicable for both GPT and GIFA] |
| **Summarized information on how the Department / Entity maintains portfolios of evidence to verify its reported performance information** |
| **[GPT*** The purpose of verification of portfolio of evidence (POE) is to determine the existence of evidence for reported performance and to highlight the adequacy of evidence submitted by Programmes. Verification of POE is applicable for Quarterly Performance Reports.
* The department’s policy on management of performance information requires that evidence for reported evidence be submitted to support the reported performance. The developed Technical Indicator Definitions lists all possible source documents that can be used as evidence for each performance indicator hence the evidence submitted by Programmes should be in line with the Technical Indicator Definitions.
* The process of verification will where relevant, identify opportunities for improvement and make recommendations which require implementation by management. Addressing the identified gaps as per the comments made will ensure improvement in the management of performance information and that evidence for reported performance is available and adequate. This will also ensure that the requirements of the Auditor General are met.

**GIFA**GIFA has developed and implementing the Organizational-wide Monitoring and Evaluation Framework which provides guidelines for collecting and verifying performance information.The framework has tools and systems to assist with management of performance information and these are: * Performance Evidence Criteria
	+ - Share Point (Electronic System)]
 |

# 3 OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY PROJECT MANAGEMENT

| **3 THE DETAILS ON [DEPARTMENT / ENTITY PROJECT MANAGEMENT]** |
| --- |
| [Not Applicable for both GPT and GIFA] |

# 4 OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

| **4 THE DETAILS ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE** |
| --- |
| **Actual amount (in Rands) allocated to the Department / Entity as budget for this entire Financial Year**  |
| GPT - [R675 545 000]GIFA - [R64 044 000] |
| **Actual amount projected by the Department / Entity to be spent only during the Q under review** |
| GPT - [R180 697 000]GIFA - [R20 275 000] |
| **Actual amount (in Rands) spent by the Department / Entity only during the Q under review** |
| GPT - [R195 237 000]GIFA - [R16 504 000] |
| **Total actual amount (in Rands) spent by the Department / Entity (Year to Date), i.e. from the beginning of this FY to the end of this Q under review** |
| GPT - [R611 959 000]GIFA - [R57 545 000] |
| **Percentage (% of total budget allocation) of budget expenditure for this Q under Review only** |
| GPT - [79%]GIFA - [81%] |
| **Percentage (% of total budget allocation) of budget expenditure (Year to Date), i.e. from the beginning of this FY to the end of this Q under review** |
| GPT - [91%]GIFA - [90%] |
| **An analysis of how the % budget expenditure compares with the % APP achievement** |
| [**GPT**Cumulatively, GPT expended 91% of the total budget allocation at the end of the fourth quarter, which is slightly lower than the ideal benchmark of 100%, however expenditure compares satisfactorily with the 79% Annual Performance Plan (APP) achievement for the period under review. **The Portfolio Committee noted that the reported under expenditure under was due to vacant post on the new approved structure not yet filled, projects such as automation of market price data collection, supplier development that are still on procurement stage, automation of financial statements project that is still to be finalized and outsourced specialized audits that are underway and still to realize expenditure.****GIFA**As at the end of the fourth quarter, GIFA spent 90% of its total appropriation for the quarter, thereby underspending by 10%, expenditure seems aligned with the 79% Annual Performance Plan (APP) achievement for the period under review.] |
| **If there was over / under spending of greater than 3% of projection, what were the main challenges that led to the over / under spending** |
| **[GPT****Program 1: Administration**This programme appropriated funds to the tune of R50 916 000 during the quarter under review. The programme spent R38 292 000, marking an under expenditure of 25%. The under expenditure was attributed tovacant posts on the new approved structure not yet filled, delays in the delivery of procured computer equipment and other high spec laptops that were sourced, and the bids were non- responsive.**Program 2: Sustainable Fiscal Resource Management**This programme appropriated funds to the tune of R28 153 000 during the quarter under review. The programme spent R24 189 000, marking an under expenditure of 14%. The under expenditure was attributed to vacant posts on the new approved structure not yet filled and budget day activities invoices that were received after the payment cut-off date (18th of March 2022) and other transactions that did not materialize due to communication in respect of National Treasury Advisory Note: Preferential Procurement Regulations, 2017 - Minister of Finance v Afribusiness NPC [2022].**Program 3: Financial Governance**This programme appropriated funds to the tune of R36 485 000 during the quarter under review. The programme spent R30 832 000, marking an under expenditure of 15%. The under expenditure was attributed tovacant posts on the new approved structure not yet filled and automation of financial statements project that is still to be finalized.**Program 4: Provincial Supply Chain Management**For the quarter under review, this programme appropriated funds amounting to R29 932 000. The programme spent R22 194 000, marking an under expenditure of 26%. The under expenditure was attributed tovacant posts on the new approved structure not yet filled, furthermore the programme received additional funding during adjustment budget for the braille project, automation of market price data collection and supplier development projects that are still on the procurement stage. **Program 5: Municipal Financial Governance**This programme appropriated funds to the tune of R12 169 000 during the quarter under review. The programme spent R10 090 000, marking an under expenditure of 17%. The under expenditure was attributed to vacant posts on the new approved structure not yet filled and due to the slow-moving expenditure on other goods and services related to items such as travel, training, venues, and catering.**Program 6: Gauteng Audit Services**This programme appropriated funds to the tune of R35 582 000 during the quarter under review. The programme spent R29 218 000, marking an under expenditure of 22%. The under expenditure was attributed tovacant posts on the new approved structure not yet filled, furthermore the programme received additional funding during adjustment budget, part of the funding was committed and whilst some of the outsourced specialised audits realised expenditure; some are still to be completed.**GIFA****Programme 1:** The delays in the conclusion of the organizational structure led to budget allocated for additional posts not being spent. The additional posts to the current structure were created in the interim to capacitate the vacancies for critical positions.**Programme 2:** The delays in the conclusion of the organizational structure led to budgeted posts not being filled.**Programme 3:** The budget that was allocated for the Land Enhancement Project was not utilized.**]** |
| **Mitigating measures by the Department / Entity to remedy over / under expenditure** |
| **[GPT**For the under spend expenditure items such as compensation of employees and goods and services, funds will be surrendered to the provincial revenue fund.**GIFA**There are no mitigating measures to be undertaken.**]** |
| **The Department / Entity’s achievement with respect to GEYODI responsive budgeting / procurement for the period under review** |
| **[GPT*** The total procurement spend for the quarter was R 47,1 million. The department spent R12,8 million (27,31%) on Women owned companies, R2,9 million (6,22%) on Youth owned companies and R5 644 (0,01%) on People with disabilities.

**GIFA**Not Applicable] |
| **The Department / Entity’s achievement with respect to township economy / SMME / local procurement for the period under review** |
| **[GPT*** The total procurement spend for the quarter was R 47,1 million. The department managed to spend R3,8 million (0,80) on Township suppliers. GPT spends most of its funds on consultants and it is not easy to direct the spending to township-based suppliers because such services are mostly not found from township-based suppliers.

**GIFA**GIFA mainly procures TA services.] |
| **A summary for the period under review with respect to payment of service providers within 15-30 days** |
| **[GPT*** In the 4th quarter, the department achieved the target of paying 100% invoices within 30 days, 98% of those invoices were paid within 15 days.

**GIFA*** 100% of suppliers paid within 30 days.]
 |
| **A summary for the period under review with respect to fruitless, wasteful and irregular expenditure** |
| **[GPT**There was no fruitless, wasteful, and irregular expenditure during Q4.**GIFA**There was no fruitless, wasteful, and irregular expenditure during Q4.] |
| **A summary for the period under review with respect to efficiency / value for money in all SCM / procurement processes** |
| [The Portfolio Committee noted that both GPT and GIFA did not report on efficiency/value for money in procurement processes.] |
| **A summary for the period under review with respect to reduction of fraud and corruption in all SCM / procurement processes** |
| [The Portfolio Committee noted that both GPT and GIFA did not report on reduction of fraud and corruption in procurement processes.] |
| **A summary for the period under review with respect to ongoing clean audits** |
| [The Portfolio Committee noted that both GPT and GIFA received clean audits outcomes] |
| **A summary for the period under review with respect to spending on conditional grants (where applicable)** |
| [Not Applicable for both GPT and GIFA] |
| **Program / Sub Programme level financial performance** |
| **[Covered above]** |

# 5 OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY RESOLUTIONS AND PETITIONS MANAGEMENT

|  |
| --- |
| **5.1 THE DETAILS ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY RESOLUTIONS MANAGEMENT** |
| **How many Responses / Actions to Resolutions were due by the Department / Entity during the Quarter under review** | **With respect to any and all Resolutions that were due in the Quarter under review, how many Resolutions have been successfully responded to by the Department / Entity** |
| GPT- 12GIFA - 0 | 11 |
| **What is the Committees perception of the Quality and Timeliness of Department / Entity responses to Committee Resolutions** |
| GPT responded timeously to the resolution |
| **[With respect to the Resolutions / Action due during the Quarter under review but still overdue, what reasons have been provided by the Department / Entity [with mitigating measures to submission]** |
| [Not Applicable] |

|  |
| --- |
| **5.2 THE DETAILS ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY PETITIONS MANAGEMENT** |
| **How many Responses / Actions to Petitions due by the Department / Entity during the Quarter under review** | **With respect to any and all Petitions that were due in the Quarter under review, how many Petitions have been successfully responded to by the Department / Entity** |
| Not Applicable for Both GPT and GIFA | Not Applicable for Both GPT and GIFA |
| **What is the Committees perception of the Quality and Timeliness of Department / Entity responses to referred Petitions** |
| Not Applicable for Both GPT and GIFA |
| **With respect to the Petitions / Action due during the Quarter under review but not yet responded to by the Department / Entity, what reasons have been provided by the Department / Entity [with mitigating measures to submission]** |
| [Not Applicable for Both GPT and GIFA] |
|  |

# 6 OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

|  |
| --- |
| **6. THE DETAILS ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY PUBLIC ENGAGEMENTS** |
| **The steps / measures the Department / Entity has taken to meaningfully involve the public / stakeholders in the course of its work / service delivery, during the period under review** |
| [None for GIFA. Listed below for GPT] |
| **Summary of Public Education programmes of the Department / Entity during the period under review** |
| [Covered under section ii of strategic priorities] |
| **Feedback sessions conducted by the Department / Entity** **during the period under review** |
| [Not Applicable for both GPT and GIFA] |

# 7 OVERSIGHT ON INTERNATIONAL TREATISE / AGREEMENTS

| **7. DETAILS ON IMPLEMENTATION OF INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS / TREATIES [Applicable only to OCPOL / OoP]** |
| --- |
| [Not Applicable for both GPT and GIFA] |

# 8 OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY GEYODI EMPOWERMENT

| **8. DETAILS ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY GEYODI EMPOWERMENT** |
| --- |
| [**GPT*** 60% procurement from female-owned businesses;
* 25.24% procurement from youth-owned businesses;
* 2.05% procurement from people with disabilities; and
* 2.59% procurement from Senior Citizens.

**GIFA*** Not applicable]
 |

# 9 OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY COMPLIANCE WITH FIDUCIARY REQUIREMENTS

| **9. [DETAILS ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY COMPLIANCE WITH FIDUCIARY REQUIREMENTS]** |
| --- |
| **GPL** | [GPT and GIFA complied with the legislated requirements with regard to the GPL] |
| **Auditor General (AGSA)** | [GPT26 requests for information were received from the AGSAGIFA No requests for information were received from the AGSA] |
| **Public Service Commission (PSC)** | [GPTNo request for information was received from the PSCGIFANone] |
| **Compliance with relevant fiduciary Legislation [e.g. PFMA]** | [The Portfolio Committee noted that both GPT and GIFA did not report on compliance with relevant fiduciary legislation like PFMA.] |

# 10 OVERSIGHT ON A CAPACITATED PUBLIC SERVICE

| **10. THE DETAILS ON A CAPACITATED DEPARTMENT / ENTITY** |
| --- |
| **Detailed information on the current vacancies (at all staff levels)** |
| GPT - [239]GIFA - [7] |
| **Current vacancy rate** |
| GPT - [24.6%]GIFA [13.21%] |
| **Current acting positions (at all Staff levels)** |
| GPT - [10]GIFA [1] |
| **Terminations during the period under review** |
| GPT - [18]GIFA [1] |
| **New appointments during the period under review** |
| GPT - [10]GIFA [0] |
| **Detailed information on the GEYODI / HDI empowerment for the period under review** |
| [GPT * **African     -** 88.81% (651/733)
* **Coloured -** 5.32% (39/7433)
* **Indian      -** 2.59% (19/733)
* **White** - 3.27% (24/733)

**GIFA*** Youth = 34,7%
* Females = 55,3%
* Males = 46,6%
* Disability = 0%
* Number of SMS males = 66,66%
* Number of SMS females = 33,33%]
 |
| **Detailed information on any suspensions for the period under review** |
| GPT - [0]GIFA [0] |

# 11 OVERSIGHT ON ANY OTHER COMMITTEE FOCUS AREA

|  |
| --- |
| **11. THE DETAILS ON ANY OTHER COMMITTEE FOCUS AREA [Only if relevant and applicable]** |
| *Any other area of Department / Entity performance with respect to its Quarter Report that the Committee wishes to report on, which is not already included in any of the above Focus Areas.* |
| [Not Applicable for both GPT and GIFA] |

# 12 COMMITTEE FINDINGS / CONCERNS

| **12. DETAILED COMMITTEE FINDINGS / CONCERNS (on each specific Focus Area analysed above)** |
| --- |
| **With respect to [Municipal Financial Governance], the committee is concerned that training offered by GPT may not be efficacious considering the acknowledgement by the MEC for CoGTA that 7 of Gauteng municipalities are on the verge of dysfunctionality.** |
| With respect to [which Focus Area above], the committee has found that / is concerned that: … |
| With respect to [which Focus Area above], the committee has found that / is concerned that: … |
| With respect to [which Focus Area above], the committee has found that / is concerned that: … |
| With respect to [which Focus Area above], the committee has found that / is concerned that: … |
| With respect to [which Focus Area above], the committee has found that / is concerned that: … |
| With respect to [which Focus Area above], the committee has found that / is concerned that: … |
| With respect to [which Focus Area above], the committee has found that / is concerned that: … |

# 13 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

| **13 [DETAILED COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS]** |
| --- |
| **Based on the information set out herein-above as well as the Committee Concerns, the Committee therefore recommends as follows:** |
| **Ref Number** | **Recommendation** | **Type of response expected** | **Due Date** |
| FIN /Q4PR / 001 | **GPT should provide a detail of its training to municipalities and express a view about its efficacy supported by evidence considering the further deterioration of Gauteng municipalities into financial distress.** | Written Response | 29/07/2022 |
|  |
| **Explanatory note on the reference numbers for Recommendations (ultimately Resolutions)*** Reference number is in the format : [A] / [B] / [C]
* [A] = The 3 letter Committee identifier. E.g. COGTA/HS can be “CHS”, SRAC can be “SRA”
* [B] = The 4 digit identifier for the SOM Imperative [e.g. Q1PR or Q2PR or FIS1 or APR, or BV. In this case, since this is a Q-Report template, it will either be Q1PR or Q2PR or Q3PR or Q4PR]
* [C] = The 3 digit number of the recommendation in this report [001,002,003 …, 00n]
 |
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# 15 ADOPTION

After due consideration, the Finance Portfolio Committee unanimously adopted the 4th Quarter Performance Report on the Gauteng Provincial Treasury for the 2021/22 financial year.

In terms of Rule 117 (2)(c) read with Rule 164, the Finance Portfolio Committee presents to this House and recommends the adoption of the Committee’s Oversight Report on the 4th Quarter Performance Report on the Gauteng Provincial Treasury for the 2021/22 financial year.

1. The Constitution of the RSA (1996) Act 108 of 1996 [↑](#footnote-ref-2)
2. Sector Oversight Model [↑](#footnote-ref-3)