**No.212 - 2022: Fourth Session, Sixth Legislature**

**GAUTENG PROVINCIAL LEGISLATURE**

**========================**

**ANNOUNCEMENTS,**

**TABLINGS AND**

**COMMITTEE REPORTS**

**========================**

Monday, 20 June 2022

**ANNOUNCEMENTS**

none

**TABLINGS**

none

**COMMITTEE REPORTS**

**1. The Chairperson of the Co-operative Governance, Traditional Affairs and Human Settlements Portfolio Committee, Hon. K P Diale-Tlabela, tabled the Committee’s Oversight Report on the Fourth Quarterly Performance Report of the Department of Human Settlements for the 2021/2022 financial year, as attached:**

**COMMITTEES QUARTER OVERSIGHT REPORT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY PERFORMANCE**

**Portfolio Committee on CoGTA and Human Settlements Adopted Oversight Report on the 4th Quarterly Report of the Gauteng Department of Human Settlements for the 2021/22 Financial Year**

| **Committee Details** | **Department / Entity Details** |
| --- | --- |
| **Name of Committee** | **CoGTA and Human Settlements Portfolio Committee** | **Name of Department / Entity** | **Gauteng Department of Human Settlements** |
| **Which Financial Year** | **2021/22** | **Dept. Budget Vote Nr.** | **8** |
| **Which Quarter** | **4th** | **Hon. Minister / MEC** | **Mr Lebogang Maile**  |
| **Committee Approvals** |
|  | **Name** | **Date Considered by Committee** |
| **Hon. Chairperson** | Ms Kedibone Diale-Tlabela | **Tuesday, 14th June 2022** |
| **Adoption and Tabling** |
| Date of Final Adoption by Committee  | **Scheduled date of House Tabling** |
| **Tuesday, 14th June 2022** | **Tuesday 21st June 2022** |

**NOTES:**

* When expressing monetary amounts, please use South African Rand only “R” and express the full Rand amount with no cents
* When expressing percentage, please use the “%” sign and round off to two (2) decimal places
* When analysing Department / Entity performance, please do NOT copy and paste the Department / Entity performance / budget tables. These need to be analyzed.
* In the Executive Summary, include just the strategic or high level “Snap-shots” of the required information. Details will be provided later in the report under “Programme Achievement”

Contents

[i. ABBREVIATIONS 6](#_Toc50576907)

[ii. SUMMARY 7](#_Toc50576908)

[iii. INTRODUCTION 11](#_Toc50576909)

[iv. PROCESS FOLLOWED 12](#_Toc50576910)

[1. OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY ACHIEVEMENT OF STRATEGIC PRIORITIES 13](#_Toc50576911)

[2 OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY ACHIEVEMENT OF APP TARGETS 14](#_Toc50576912)

[3 OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY PROJECT MANAGEMENT 16](#_Toc50576913)

[4 OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE 17](#_Toc50576914)

[5 OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY RESOLUTIONS AND PETITIONS MANAGEMENT 19](#_Toc50576915)

[6 OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT 21](#_Toc50576916)

[7 OVERSIGHT ON INTERNATIONAL TREATISE / AGREEMENTS 22](#_Toc50576917)

[8 OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY GEYODI EMPOWERMENT 23](#_Toc50576918)

[9 OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY COMPLIANCE WITH FIDUCIARY REQUIREMENTS 24](#_Toc50576919)

[10 OVERSIGHT ON A CAPACITATED PUBLIC SERVICE 25](#_Toc50576920)

[11 OVERSIGHT ON ANY OTHER COMMITTEE FOCUS AREA 26](#_Toc50576921)

[12 COMMITTEE FINDINGS / CONCERNS 27](#_Toc50576922)

[13 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS 28](#_Toc50576923)

[14 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS 29](#_Toc50576924)

[15 ADOPTION 29](#_Toc50576925)

# ABBREVIATIONS

| **Abbreviation** | **Full Wording** |
| --- | --- |
| Abbreviation | Full Wording |
| ABT | Alternative Building Technology  |
| AFCU | Anti-Fraud and Corruption Unit  |
| AGSA | Auditor General of South Africa  |
| APP | Annual Performance Plan |
| ARA  | Affordable Rental Accommodation |
| BCM | Budget Cycle Model |
| CoE | Compensation of Employees |
| COVAC | Committee’s Oversight Accountability Framework  |
| CRUs | Communal Rental Units  |
| EPRE | Estimates of Provincial Expenditure  |
| FY | Financial Year |
| GAS | Gauteng Audit Services |
| GCR | Gauteng City Region  |
| GDHS | Gauteng Department of Human Settlements  |
| GPG | Gauteng Provincial Government |
| IDPs | Integrated Development Plans |
| IRDP | Integrated Residential Development Programme  |
| MEC | Member of the Executive Council |
| MIG  | Municipal Infrastructure Grant  |
| MHD | Mixed Housing Development  |
| MTEF | Medium Term Expenditure Framework  |
| MYHDP | Multi Year Housing Development Plan |
| NHBRC  | National Home Builders Registration Council |
| NYDA  | National Youth Development Agency  |
| OSD  | Occupational Specification Dispensation  |
| PEBA | Programme Evaluation and Budget Analysis  |
| PFMA | Public Finance Management Act  |
| PWD | People with Disabilities |
| SMS | Senior Management Service  |
| SOM | Sector Oversight Model  |
|  |  |

# SUMMARY

| **ii. [Summary of the report]** |
| --- |
|  |
| ***Strategic Priorities*** |
| Amongst others, the strategic priorities of the Department of Human Settlements in Gauteng are to build a capable, ethical, and developmental state, to ensure economic transformation, job creation, spatial integration, human settlements and the development of local government. The Portfolio Committee noted that the Department received R5 986 126 000.00 and has spent R5 679 449 000.00 (95%) of its total allocation at the end of the fourth quarter. The Portfolio Committee has however noted during the third quarter of 2021/22 FY reporting period that the budget of the Department had been revised upwards by R73 994 000.000 through a roll-over from National Treasury. The Department allocated a total of R1 526 214 000.00 in the fourth quarter and as at the end of the quarter under review, the Department managed to spend R2 239 607 000.00 which translates to an overall expenditure of 147% and the Department was supposed to spend 100% by the end of the quarter under review and has overspent its quarterly budget by 47%.The Portfolio Committee noted that the Department partially achieved its target on procurement budget that targets township-based businesses as 0,80% was achieved. Out of a budget of R328 646 284,23 paid, R2 641 727,75 was spent on township- based businesses. With regards to the percentage of procurement budget that targets businesses owned by women, the Department did not achieve its target of 40% and managed to achieve 7,54% (Out of R328 545 284, 23 paid, R24 794 905,14 was paid to businesses owned by women).With regards to the 20% of procurement budget that targets businesses owned by youth, 2,98% was paid to businesses owned by youth. Out of R8328 646 284,23 paid, R9 809 874, 59 was paid to businesses owned by youth. Furthermore, on the percentage of procurement budget that targets businesses owned by PwDs, 1,908% was achieved against a planned target of 5%. Out of R328 646 284,23 paid, R6 270 629,63 was paid to businesses owned by PWDs. The Department achieved its target on percentage of invoices paid within 30 days of receipt. They reported that 100% of the invoices received were paid within 30 days of receipt. In terms of Rand Value of funding facilitated for bulk infrastructure per financial year, R134 583 683 000.00-rand value was facilitated for bulk infrastructure. With regards to the number of FLISP housing subsidies disbursed to qualifying beneficiaries per financial, the planned target for the quarter under review was 367 and this was not achieved as there were no households that received FLISP.The Portfolio Committee noted that a total of 1005 post-2014 title deeds were registered even though there was no planned target for the quarter under review however, the delivery was towards the annual target. With regards to a total of 38 pre-1994 title deeds were registered even though there was no planned target. However, the annual target is 2 138. The report states that the number of title deeds registered for post-1994 projects completed by 31 March 2014; there was no planned output for the quarter under review. However, 831 title deeds were registered. The annual target is 7 777. While noting the steady improvement on its performance the Portfolio Committee notes with concern that the department is not achieving its own set targets timeously even before the Covid 19 pandemic. The Committee continues to encourage the Department to ensure effective , efficient quality service delivery and where necessary the department should put control measures and consequent management on non performance.  |
| ***Department / Entity APP Achievement****An overall Summary of whether the Committee thinks the Department / Entity Non-Financial Performance is sound and prudent* |
| The Department planned to achieve 46 targets across its 4 programmes during 4th quarter 2021/22 financial year. Out of the combined 46 targets, 19 (41%) were fully achieved, 5 (11%) was partially achieved and 24 (52%) were not achieved.  |
| *An analysis on whether (and if so, the extent to which) the Department / Entity Programmes / Projects are indeed achieving its Strategic Objectives / Service Delivery Outcomes for the period under review.* |
| The Committee notes with concern that the Department has consistently been under performing on its planned targets. The Committee continues to encourage the Department to ensure that the service delivery mandate is achieved. The Portfolio Committee is of a view that all programmes did not achieve all its planned targets. |
| ***Department / Entity Project Management****Overall Summary on management and delivery of Department / Entity Projects* |
| The Committee noted the department has attached the list of projects for 4th quarter as per the Business Plan. |
| ***Financial Performance****An overall Summary of whether the Committee thinks the Department / Entity Financial Performance is sound and prudent* |
| The Department received an adjusted allocation R5 986 126 000.00 and has spent R5 679 449 000.00 (95%). During the quarter review, The Department allocated a total of R1 526 214 000.00 in the fourth quarter and as at the end of the quarter under review, the Department managed to spend R2 239 607 000.00 which translates to an overall expenditure of 147% and the Department was supposed to spend 100% by the end of the quarter under review and has overspent its quarterly budget by 47%. |
| ***Resolutions Management****An overall Summary of the Committee’s assessment of Department / Entity Resolutions Management* |
| The Portfolio Committee received Resolutions from the Department and still to be analysed by the Committee Researcher.  |
| ***Petitions Management****An overall Summary of the Committee’s assessment of Department / Entity Petitions Management* |
| A total of 21 petitions were referred to the Department and 13 were responded to and 8 are still in progress. |
| ***Public Engagements****An overall Summary of the Committee’s assessment of Department / Entity Public Engagements* |
| * Regular engagements with the public and stakeholders took place this quarter, to inform them of progress on housing developments, resolve challenges and backlogs and educate the general public, beneficiaries and stakeholders about the Department, its challenges and its offerings. The Department proactively communicates on a daily basis to the public through marketing initiatives, publications, media engagements, enquiries and its social media accounts on a variety of human settlements related matters. The Departments Customer Support Centres in all 5 Regions also meaningfully engage beneficiaries and potential beneficiaries on a daily basis.
 |
| ***International Agreements****Overall Summary on Department / Entity implementation of relevant Internal Agreements / Treaties [Only if applicable]*  |
| [Not applicable] |
| ***GEYODI Empowerment****Overall Summary on Department / Entity achievement on actual GEYODI empowerment in communities* |
| **Name of women companies awarded work in Q4:** Emendo Pty Ltd, Rushreed Holdings, Rudcor Consulting Capital, Asande Projects Consulting and Engineering1. Gcwensa Attorneys (100%)
2. Joyful Attempts Construction (100%)
3. Mmamoleboge Investments (100%)
4. Ntuli Noble Inc. (100%)
5. Thejane Attorneys (100%)
6. Belsure Solutions (100%)
7. Ekamhlaba PTY LTD (100%)
8. HM Chaane Attorneys (100%)
9. Joyfull Attempts (100%)
10. Leeanka Infrastructure Consultants (51%)
11. South African Women in Construction (100%)
12. TSL Telecom and Communication (100%)

**Name of women companies awarded work in Q4:** 1. Emendo Pty Ltd,
2. Rushreed Holdings
3. Rudcor Consulting Capital
4. Asande Projects Consulting and Engineering
5. Gcwensa Attorneys (100%)
6. Joyful Attempts Construction (100%)
7. Mmamoleboge Investments (100%)
8. Ntuli Noble Inc. (100%)
9. Thejane Attorneys (100%)
10. Belsure Solutions (100%)
11. Ekamhlaba PTY LTD (100%)
12. HM Chaane Attorneys (100%)
13. Joyfull Attempts (100%)
14. Leeanka Infrastructure Consultants (51%)
15. South African Women in Construction (100%)
16. TSL Telecom and Communication (100%)

Incubator Contractors: Allocation of houses for Q4: 168 Houses**Names of Youth companies received work in Q4:** 1. Kago Built
2. Mangethe Group Construction (100%)
3. Ekamhlaba PTY LTD (100%)
4. EKS Consulting Engineers (100%)
5. HR Consulting HUB (100%)
6. Temi Construction Pty Ltd (75%)
7. Watson Consulting Engineers (100%)

Names of Youth companies received work in Q4: 1. Kago Built2. Mangethe Group Construction (100%)3. Ekamhlaba PTY LTD (100%)4. EKS Consulting Engineers (100%)5. HR Consulting HUB (100%)6. Temi Construction Pty Ltd (75%)7. Watson Consulting Engineers (100%)Incubator Contractors: Bursaries:The Department currently has 5 youths who are External Bursary Holders and 5 youth 5 youth employees who are Internal Bursary holders.Bursaries awarded to employees are 88. **Internships:** **The department currently has 3 interns and is in the process of recruiting 36 more interns for 2022/23 - 2023/24 Financial Years.**Bursaries awarded to external students are 05. Preferential Procurement: Name of PWDs companies awarded work in Q4: 1. Linked Thoughts Consulting cc (100%)2. Gladafrica Consulting Engineers (100%) Name of PWDs companies awarded work in Q4:1. Linked Thoughts Consulting cc (100%)2. Gladafrica Consulting Engineers (100%) Incubator Contractors: Housing allocations for Q4:0Allocation of houses for Q4: 105 Houses. |
| ***Fiduciary Compliance****Overall Summary on Department / Entity Compliance with fiduciary requirements* |
| The Department did not report any on this line item.  |
| ***Capacitated Department / Entity****An overall Summary of whether the Committee thinks the Department / Entity is adequately capacitated and resourced to carry out its functions and discharge its mandates* |
| The Committee has always been of the view that all Departmental critical vacant positions should be filled in order to ensure that service delivery imperatives are attained.   |
| ***Any other Committee Focus Area (if relevant / applicable and Requirement)****High level summary of any other area of Department / Entity performance with respect to its Quarter Report that the Committee wishes to report on, which is not already included in any of the above Focus Areas.* |
| [Not applicable] |
| ***Summary of Committee Findings****High level summary of Committee findings. Broadly, which aspects do they relate to* |
| The Committee continuously observes that the Department fails to spend its annual budget and never meets its planned targets. Moreover, there is ’s;1. The Portfolio Committee noted that there is misalignment between budget expenditure and planned targets achieved noting that during the quarter under review the Department spent 147% and underperformed.
2. No clear plan on abandoned / unfinished projects.
3. No clear plan on dealing with fraud and corruption within the Department.
4. Lack of clear strategy to avoid invasion of housing units.
5. The Portfolio Committee noted with concern that Department is unable to meet its targets since 2020/21 FY.
6. No clear report on the targets that are set and overachieved.
7. Lack of reporting on which flats were maintained during the quarter review and how much was spent
8. No clear report on housing allocation projects that are still under construction and those that are yet to be finished within the financial year of 2021/2022 FY.
 |
| ***Summary of Committee Recommendations****High level summary of Committee Recommendations. Broadly, which aspects do they relate to* |
| The Committee recommends that the Department provide. 1. The Department should submit a remedial action plan to avoid misalignment between budget expenditure and planned targets achieved. Furthermore, account on the over expenditure as opposed to under performance.
2. Provide the portfolio committee with an audit report of all the abandoned / unfinished projects and the financial implications thereof as well as a plan to finish the projects with timeframes.
3. Provide a detailed report and strategy to combat fraud and corruption within the department.
4. Provide a mechanism used to avoid invasion of housing units.
5. The Department should provide reasons for not being able to meet its targets since 2020/21 FY.
6. The Department should account on what informs the targets that are set and overachieved.
7. Provide names of flats that were maintained during the quarter review and how much was spent
8. Provide the detailed report on housing allocation projects that are still under construction and those that are yet to be finished within the financial year of 2021/2022 FY.
 |

# INTRODUCTION

The constitutional powers given to Provincial Legislatures in Chapter 6, Section 114 (2) of *The* *Constitution of the Republic of South Africa* (1996) outlines that the provincial legislature must provide for mechanisms; to ensure that all provincial executive organs of state in the province are accountable to it; and also to exercise oversight over the provincial executive authority in the province[[1]](#footnote-1).

In line with the provisions of the Constitution, the Public Finance Management Act (PFMA), 1999 (*Amended by Act 29 of 1999*), is an important piece of legislation. The key objectives of this Act, among others, enable the public sector managers to: be more accountable; provide quality information on time; and to eliminate corruption and waste of public funds and misuse of assets.

The CoGTA and Human Settlements Portfolio Committee, through the Sector Oversight Model (SOM), assesses the overall performance of the Department i.e. financial and non-financial, against set targets and expenditure patterns. SOM states that In Year Monitoring (IYM) through quarterly reports provide a Committee with the information needed to monitor effective programme implementation[[2]](#footnote-2).

This report was developed in accordance with the Sector Oversight Model (SOM), the GPL Committees Oversight and Accountability Framework (COVAC) as part of the GPL Project on Standardization of Oversight, Accountability and Reporting in Gauteng (SOAR-GP)

# PROCESS FOLLOWED

* The office of the Speaker referred 4th Quarterly Performance Report of the Gauteng Department of Human Settlements for the 2021/22 financial year to the Portfolio Committee of CoGTA and Human Settlements for consideration and reporting.
* On Thursday, 26 May 2022**,** the Portfolio Committee Researcher presented the analysis of the 4th Quarterly Report for the 2021/22 FY.
* On Friday, 26 May 2022, the Department presented the 4th Quarterly Report for the 2021/22 FY
* The Portfolio Committee deliberated and adopted the draft report onTuesday, 14th June 2022. The report was submitted to the Proceedings Unit for tabling and consideration by the House.

# OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY ACHIEVEMENT OF STRATEGIC PRIORITIES

| **1.1 THE DETAILS ON Department / Entity achievement on relevant Strategic Priorities for the period under review]** |
| --- |
| The Performance of the department under strategic Priories for the period under review are as follows:Strategic Priority 1: Building a capable, ethical and developmental state: * The Department has implemented the Infrastructure Delivery Management System, and nothing was implemented during the quarter under review.

Strategic Prio400 Youth trained in construction trades rity 2: Economic transformation and job creation: * 400 Youth trained in construction trades and 397 SMMEs were trained.
* 30% of the Departmental procurement budget spent on township-based businesses and 0,80% was spent.
* The department is working on segregating the information. It will be report on the next quarter.
* 90 Local inclusive of township SMMEs benefitting from the decongestion and decontamination of informal settlements and No procurement done on the decongestion of informal settlements
* 5% of the Departmental procurement budget spent on local material suppliers based in the townships and 3%, of procurement spent on local suppliers in the township
* The department continues to prioritize its procurement spends on the designated groups such as Local suppliers, Women, Youth and People with Disabilities (PWD’s). During quarter 4, the Department spend 64,73% Local/Township businesses;7,54% Women; 2,98% Youth; 1,90% People with Disabilities.
* Jobs are also being created by the. A total of 56 577 56 577 jobs created for the Youth, Women and People with Disabilities through implementation of Departmental construction projects and 4 972 jobs created during the quarter under review.

Strategic Priority 5: Spatial integration, human settlements and local government* The Department was supposed to release100 000 Release opportunities on serviced sites owned by the state serviced and nothing was released on serviced sites.
* Out of 30 958 Mega Projects housing units, the Department managed to complete 2 690 Mega Projects housing units.
* On the planned target of 34 713 Mega Projects serviced stands, the Department 2 911 Mega Projects serviced stands were developed.
* 22 518 Housing units delivered through the Legacy Projects and only 227 Housing units were delivered.
* 11 055 Serviced sites delivered through the Legacy Projects by 2024/25 and 0 Serviced legacy sites delivered.
* 38 informal settlements upgraded in the West Rand and Sedibeng, (across all corridors) in accordance with the Upgrading of Informal Settlements and 0 informal settlements upgraded.
* 75 444 New title deeds as part of the commitment to clear the title deeds backlog by 2024/25 and 1005 New title deeds were issued.
 |

# 2 OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY ACHIEVEMENT OF APP TARGETS

| **2 [THE DETAILS ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY APP PERFORMANCE]** |
| --- |
| **Number of APP targets relevant for this Quarter** |
| 51 |
| **Number of APP targets for this Quarter that have been achieved during this Quarter** |
| 14  |
| **Percentage of APP targets for this Quarter that have been achieved during this Quarter** |
| 27% |
| **Percentage of APP achievement for the previous Quarter (for Comparison)** |
| 32%  |
| **Main areas in the APP that have experienced non-achievement or over achievement during this Quarter** |
| **The Committee noted that all the programmes have underspent their annual and quarterly allocations.*** **Programme 1: Administration:** registering an expenditure of 129%. This shows that the programme overspent by 29%.
* **Programme 2: Housing Needs, Planning and Research:** registering an under expenditure of 42%. The Committee should note that this programme has a history of under expenditure**.**
* **Programme 3: Housing Development:** registering an expenditure of 148%. The programme overspent its budget by 48%.

**Programme 4: Housing Assets Management and Property Management:** registering 171% expenditure. The programme overspent its budget by 71%.  |
| **Main reasons provided by the Department / Entity for non-achievement or over achievement of its APP during this Quarter** |
| The Department as at the end of the fourth quarter has a remainder of R309 978 000.00. There are targets that have not been achieved during the quarter under review. The Portfolio Committee noted that underperforming on all planned targets in all programmes . It is still not clear what is causing the Department not to achieve this target as reasons for deviation vary from quarter to quarter.  |
| **Measures in place (with timeframes) to correct the deviation in targets for this Quarter and to prevent recurrence of such or similar deviation** |
| The Department reported that the following mitigating measures will be implemented to achieve planned targets:* The department is to obtain subcontracting information from respective contractors to determine and boost the percentage spent on township-based businesses.
* The department is to obtain subcontracting information from respective contractors to determine and boost the percentage spent on designated sectors.
* Future projections on the Business Plan will be based on projects that are ready for implementation.
* Finalise amendment of the contracts to include submission of skilled labour on site.
* The Structure File to be submitted to DPSA without the job evaluation report as advised by the DPSA.
* Both research reports shall be shifted to the next financial year (2022/23) due to insufficient time to conclude them in the current financial year.
* Policy and Research Unit developed Policy Review Committee Charter and Policy Protocol with an aim of addressing these challenges. The unit will endeavour to improve on its coordination efforts during the next financial year.
* The implementation is envisaged for commencement in the next financial year upon appointment of PRTs.
* To enter into off-take agreements with the prospective developers to bring serviced stands.
* Allocation is conducted when service certificates have been issued to the Beneficiary Management Unit .
* SCM to fast-track relevant approvals. Procurement of PRTs and Contractors to start in the next financial year.
* Verification will be completed before targeting in order to achieve accurate targets.
* HDA to be engaged to fast-track assessments and valuations for the land required for delivery in the next financial year (FY).
* SCM procurement underway to enable construction to start.
* Better planning will be encouraged from our Municipalities to enable the department to transfer the properties as planned.
* All outstanding matters of the 4th quarter will be resolved in the next financial year.
* To fast-track the process by engaging affected municipalities to finalise logistics for the start of the subject audits.

The Committee acknowledged the mechanism that have been put in place to ensure targets are achieved in the next quarter.  |
| **A summarized analysis on the Department / Entity performance per Programme for the period under review** |
| **PROGRAMME 1: ADMINISTRATION** 1.1 SUB-PROGRAMME OFFICE OF THE HODIn terms of percentage of material misstatements of the current audit report addressed towards an unqualified audit opinion, the Department achieved its 70% planned target.The Department partially achieved its target on procurement budget that targets township-based businesses as 0,80% was achieved. Out of a budget of R328 646 284,23 paid, R2 641 727,75 was spent on township- based businesses. In relation to the percentage of procurement budget that targets businesses owned by women, the Department did not achieve its target of 40% and managed to achieve 7,54% (Out of R328 545 284, 23 paid, R24 794 905,14 was paid to businesses owned by women). Regarding 20% of procurement budget that targets businesses owned by youth, 2,98% was paid to businesses owned by youth. Out of R8328 646 284,23 paid, R9 809 874, 59 was paid to businesses owned by youth.On the percentage of procurement budget that targets businesses owned by PwDs, 1,908% was achieved against a planned target of 5%. Out of R328 646 284,23 paid, R6 270 629,63 was paid to businesses owned by PWDs. The Department achieved its target on percentage of invoices paid within 30 days of receipt. They reported that 100% of the invoices received were paid within 30 days of receipt. Out of 627 invoices received, 627 were paid. This is the second time that the Department has achieved payment of service providers, therefore the Department is commended for achieving the target. This will hopefully assist with the high number of accruals within the Department. The Portfolio Committee noted that the Departmental Global Risk Register and corresponding Global Risk Response Action Plan (RAP) have been updated.**1.2 SUB-PROGRAMME: WORK OPPORTUNITIES: EXPANDED PUBLIC WORKS PROGRAMME AND HUMAN SETTLEMENTS DEVELOPMENT GRANT**Regarding the number of work opportunities created through EPWP incentive grant (Unskilled Labour), 4 860 jobs were created against a planned target of 7 500. This target was not achieved even in the second and third quarter. With regards to the number of work opportunities created through the Human Settlements Development Grant (Skilled and unskilled labour), the Department had a planned target of 5000 and 112 work opportunities were created as at the end of the quarter under review, this target has not been achieved even in the previous three quarters. In terms of the revised organizational structure, the Department submitted it to the DPSA by the end of the financial year, this target was not achieved. The proposed organizational structure is as follows: * Costing = R443 348 963
* The proposed functional and organizational structure file was submitted for consideration and provincial approval.
* Fifteen (15) jobs were presented and recommended.

With regards to the number of departmental employees trained on the Infrastructure Delivery Management System (IDMS) per financial year, this target was not achieved. The reason for non-achievement of the target is that National Treasury was not available to conduct the IDMS training during the quarter under review. The Portfolio Committee should note that this target was not achieved even in the in the second quarter and third quarter.Regarding ***Aspiration 6 of the African Agenda 2063: An Africa whose development is people-driven, relying on the potential of African people, especially its women and youth, and caring for children***, the Department is responding through its target on the ***number of companies participating in Incubator Programme per annum.*** The revised annual target is 30% women-owned companies, 10% youth-owned companies and 5% people with disabilities (PwDs)-owned companies. The Department is making progress especially on its target of procuring local supplier as this target is always achieved and, in some cases, over-achieved.**PROGRAMME 2: PLANNING, POLICY AND RESEARCH**On the number of human settlements focused Research Reports completed per financial year, the Department did not achieve its planned target of drafting 2 research reports during quarter under review. The Department reported it has experienced delays by supply chain management in appointing the BEC and BSC members, which had direct impact on appointment of the service provider. Both research reports will be shifted to the next financial year (2022/23) due to insufficient time to conclude them in the current financial year. In terms of the Provincial Human Settlements focused policies approved per financial year, there were two planned policies to be developed for the quarter under review. This target was not achieved as there were no policies that were developed. The reason for deviation is that there were no policy owners identified. Regarding the number of Provincial Human Settlements focused policies reviewed per financial year, eighteen policies were reviewed during the quarter under review. The Department has a planned target of developing one provincial human settlement focused legislation and this was not achieved. The Department has experienced slow response from internal stakeholders. With regards to the Gauteng Human Settlements Spatial Master Plan has been reviewed, while the Gauteng Multi-year Project Pipeline/Project Bank has been updated.On the Number of priority housing development areas (PHDAs) planned for was 9 and this was not achieved. The Department has reported that the memo to request approval to transfer PHDA projects to HDA for implementation and funding was approved in the fourth quarter. According to the Department, this will then be carried over to the next FY. In terms of the number of formalised townships per financial year, the planned target was 14 and no townships were formalised. There were delays in the appointment of the PRTs experienced in procurement processes. The Committee should note that this was the case even in the second and third quarters.With regard to the number of hostel projects with approved Environmental Impact Assessments (EIAs), Geotech-1 (GT-1), Layout Plans (LPs), Site Development Plans (SDPs), General Plans (GPs), Engineering and Top Structure Designs (ETSDs) by the end of the quarter under review, the planned target was 5 and this target was not achieved. In the third quarter, the target was 5, In the second quarter the planned target was three and in the first quarter it was two and nothing was achieved. **PROGRAMME 3: HOUSING DELIVERY** SUB-PROGRAMME: RAPID LAND RELEASE PROGRAMMEOn the number of hectares of well-located land acquired for development of housing opportunities, the planned target was 10 000 hactors and this was not achieved. However, Chris Hani ext. 4-7, Palm Ridge Ext 14, 15, 16, 17, 34 and 35 were achieved. There has been land identified in Cullinan even though it is not well located. Chris Hani and Palmridge were acquired as an offtake of the RLRP. With regards to the number of serviced erven handed over to beneficiaries per financial year, the planned target for the quarter under review was 5 162. A total of 570 was achieved making the department to underperform on its planned target. In terms of the number of release opportunities on serviced sites procured from the private sector each financial year, the planned output for the quarter under review was 4 162 and achieved 0 as at the end of the quarter. This is because the erven were acquired un-serviced and were acquired as an off take. The seller was busy installing services on the land.**Bulk Infrastructure Support Services** In terms of Rand Value of funding facilitated for bulk infrastructure per financial year, R134 583 683 000.00-rand value was facilitated for bulk infrastructure. It is further reported that a submission was made for additional funds (R16 023 226) to be shifted from non-performing top structure projects to performing bulk infrastructure projects (mining towns allocation).**FINANCIAL INTERVENTION**With regards to the number of FLISP housing subsidies disbursed to qualifying beneficiaries per financial, the planned target for the quarter under review was 367 and this was not achieved as there were no households that received FLISP. It is reported that the Department and National Housing Finance Cooperation (NHFC) had some differences on how to implement current implementation protocol. During the previous three quarters, this was not planned.***SUB-PROGRAMME HOSTEL REDEVLOPMENT*** In terms of the number of hostels where construction is taking place as per the hostel re-development programme, the planned target for the quarter was 8 and the Department have made a mistake and used the same responses to the above output indicator. This target will need to be sent back to the Department for proper reporting (Pg. 37). With regard to the number of family units completed in the hostel re-development projects per financial year, the planned target was 270 and this target was not achieved. The Department is citing delays in the finalization of SCM processes.***SUB-PROGRAMME URBAN RENEWAL PROJECTS***On the number of identified projects implemented in URP areas (Evaton, Winterveld and Bekkersdal, and Alexandra, the planned target was 4 and this target was partially achieved. Evaton: outflow network upgrade project, Bekkersdal: storm water and waste management maintenance infrastructure, and Alexandra: project management office (PMO) was implemented by HDA. Winterveldt to be implemented in the next financial year upon finalization of procurement process.***SUB-PROGRAMME-UISP INFORMAL SETTLEMENTS*** In terms of the number of informal settlements receiving interim services support (category A, B1, B2 and C), the planned target was 71 and the target was partially achieved. It is reported that 54 informal settlements received interim support services. The Department reported that the target as per APP was 71 but upon finalization of verifications it was discovered that 17 settlements were already being serviced by local municipalities. This shows that the Department sets up targets without verifying in order to set accurate targets. This might be seen as inflating targets for business plans and budgets to be approved. With regard to the number of informal settlements receiving phase 2 upgrading, the planned target was 17 and this target was partially achieved. It is reported that upgrading plans were still work in progress. With regards to the number of approved individual informal settlements upgrading plans prepared in terms of the National Upgrading Support Programme (NUSP), the planned target was 20 and this was not achieved because the late appointment of PRTs resulted in the late start of work on upgrading plans, the target were therefore not achieved.In relation to the number of households benefited from interim service, the planned target was 10 000. This was partially achieved as 9 category A and B1 informal settlements are currently receiving phase 2 upgrading. This cannot be partially achieved, achieved only 9 out of 10 000 is a non-achievement.On the number of hectares of land acquired for relocation of category B2 and category C settlements (categories in terms of NUSP), the planned target for the quarter under review was 150. This was not achieved as no hectares of land were acquired. In terms of the number of hectares of land acquired for in situ upgrading for category B1 settlements, the planned target for the quarter under review was 170 and this has not been achieved. The target did not take protracted processes into consideration. Work on upgrading plans has, however, commenced which will inform upgrading processes going forward. With regards to the number of informal settlements in situ, the planned target for the quarter under review was 9 and this has not been achieved. The target did not take protracted processes into consideration. Work on upgrading plans has, however, commenced which will inform upgrading processes going forward. The Portfolio Committee during the second quarter asked whether there will be any funds that will be returned to the National Treasury, the Department explained that there has been a R20 million from the ISUPG request that has come from the National Department of Human Settlements which was requested from three provinces to assist Northern Cape on the Informal Settlements. The report is however silent about the transfer of such funds. ***SUB-PROGRAMME MEGA, LEGACY AND BLOCKED, PROJECTS*** On the number of Mega Projects serviced stands developed per financial year, the planned target was 1 122 and 2 911 was achieved. Impumelelo Ext 4, Affri Village, Vaarkenslagte, Dan Tloome and Western Mega overachieved because of construction work that started early as the projects are multi-year projects. On the number of Mega Project units completed per financial year, 2 690 mega project units were completed against a planned target of 878. It has been reported that over achievement was experienced in Affri village, Impumelelo Ext 4, Vaarkenslagte, Dan Tloome and Savannah City which was caused by construction work that started in the previous year as the project is a multi-year project. In relation to the number of legacy projects stands serviced per financial year; the planned target was 350 and no stands were serviced. However, the annual target of 1 421 has been achieved because of an overachievement in Tarlton project which was because of work that commenced in previous years. Furthermore, on the number of legacy projects housing units completed per financial year, the planned target for the quarter under review was 365 and this target was not achieved as 227 housing units were completed. The following projects experienced poor performance by the contractor:* Hospital hills,
* Stretford extension,
* Drieziek Ext 4, and
* Etwatwa Ext 4,8,12,13,14,21,24.

With regards to Obed Mthombeni, the project was experiencing some stoppages by disgruntled SMMEs that could not perform for a period of three years and their contract had to be terminated outstanding SCM appointments of contractors and PRTs led to the poor performance. The Portfolio Committee noted that the following projects, contractors are disputing the subsidy quantum and not taking sites:* Tinasonke Ext 4,
* Munsieville,
* Soshanguve 4 and 5,
* Soshanguve 6 and 7, and
* Hammanskraal west Ext 2

Furthermore, the Portfolio Committee noted that there are also reportedly disputes by SMMES on the 30% subcontracting requirement in Soshanguve Ext 19 and Khutsong. In relations to the number of top structures completed, incomplete/abandoned/blocked housing projects, there was no planned target for the quarter under review however, there was annual target of 340 which was not achieved in the previous three quarters. The reason for deviation is that SCM processes for blocked/abandoned projects were still in progress. It is however reported that the PRT has been appointed and the process of appointing a contractor for 340 incomplete houses is underway for phase 1. In terms of the number of approved beneficiaries allocated available housing units, the planned target was 1 333 and 1 132 approved beneficiaries were allocated to available housing units. ***SUB-PROGRAMME INTEGRATED RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMME (IRDP)*** In terms of the number of integrated residential development projects implemented, the planned output for the quarter under review was 130 and 53 integrated residential development projects were implemented. The Department has experienced delays in the appointment of contractors and PRTs and Insufficient bulk infrastructure.On the number of subsidy housing projects enrolled by the Department with the National Home Builder, the planned output for the quarter under review was 62 which is the annual target for this performance indicator.The Department managed to enroll 12 subsidy housing projects with the NHBRC. The actual target is demand driven and depends on the requests that come from the regions to the quality assurance (QA) unit. The Department has reported that 1 132 approved beneficiaries were allocated to available housing units but has now only enrolled 12 houses with the NHBRC. Agenda 2063, Aspiration 1 on goal 3 states that “***Cities and other settlements are hubs of cultural and economic activities, with modernized infrastructure, and people have access to affordable and decent housing including housing finance together will all the necessities of life such as, water, sanitation, energy, public transport and ICT***”, the report states that for the FY under review, the Department had targets that are responding to the aspirations of the African Agenda 2063, NDP and SDGs. The Department is making progress in achieving the aspirations of the Africa Agenda even though the non-achievement of targets puts a damper on the strides that the Department is making. The inception of the Mega Projects, the disbursement of subsidies under the FLISP programme to name a few are indication that the Department is heading towards achieving the Agenda, NDP and SDGs.**PROGRAMME 4: ASSET MANAGEMENT**In relation to the percentage of property maintenance carried out against complaints received per financial year, this target was partially achieved, 36% of property maintenance was carried out of 11 flats, only 4 flats were maintained. The Portfolio Committee noted that this was the case even in the third quarter. With regards to the percentage of property transfers completed per financial year, was achieved against a planned target of 90%, 171,5% was achieved (211 achieved out of targets of 123). A total of 63 properties were devolved to COJ, 26 properties transferred to individual beneficiaries, 122 flats (units) transferred, and 0 commercial properties transferred. In relation to the percentage of residential rental housing disputes resolved by the Gauteng Rental Housing Tribunal, this target was achieved as 61% cases were resolved against a planned target of 20%. A total of 505 cases were opened and 306 cases were resolved in the quarter under review.The Portfolio Committee noted that a total of 1005 post-2014 title deeds were registered even though there was no planned target for the quarter under review, however, the delivery was towards the annual target. Furthermore, a total of 38 pre-1994 title deeds were registered even though there was no planned quarterly target. However, the annual target is 2 138. On the number of title deeds registered for post-1994 projects completed by 31 March 2014; there was no planned output for the quarter under review. However, 831 title deeds were registered. The annual target is 7 777. In relation to the number of beneficiaries confirmed as legitimate in registered townships in respect of pre- and 1994 title deeds backlog (DORA), the planned target for the quarter under review was 14 700 and achieved 534. With regards to the number of ownership disputes resolved in respect of 1994 title deeds backlog (DORA), the planned target was 90 and 0 ownership disputes were resolved in respect of pre-1994 title deeds backlog (DORA) was achieved. This is because the adjudication panel is not yet operational.   |
|  |
| There were none unplanned or emerging priorities reported for the quarter. |
| **Summarized information on how the Department / Entity maintains portfolios of evidence to verify its reported performance information** |
| The Department reported did not report on this line item. |

# 3 OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY PROJECT MANAGEMENT

| 1. **THE DETAILS ON [DEPARTMENT / ENTITY PROJECT MANAGEMENT]**
 |
| --- |
| The Department submitted a project for the 4th quarter as per the Business Plan. |

# 4 OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE

| **4 THE DETAILS ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY FINANCIAL PERFORMANCE** |
| --- |
| **Actual amount (in Rands) allocated to the Department / Entity as budget for this entire Financial Year**  |
| R5 986 126 000.00 |
| **Actual amount projected by the Department / Entity to be spent only during the Q under review** |
| R1 526 214 000.00 |
| **Actual amount (in Rands) spent by the Department / Entity only during the Q under review** |
| R2 239 607 000.00 |
| **Total actual amount (in Rands) spent by the Department / Entity (Year to Date), i.e. from the beginning of this FY to the end of this Q under review** |
| R5 679 449 000.00 (95%) |
| **Percentage (% of total budget allocation) of budget expenditure for this Q under Review only** |
| 147% |
| **Percentage (% of total budget allocation) of budget expenditure (Year to Date), i.e. from the beginning of this FY to the end of this Q under review** |
| 95% |
| **An analysis of how the % budget expenditure compares with the % APP achievement** |
| Budget expenditure is at 95% and APP achievement is at 77% |
| **If there was over / under spending of greater than 3% of projection, what were the main challenges that led to the over / under spending** |
| The department spent 95% at the end of the quarter. The Portfolio Committee noted that the Department is over-targeting; however, they are unable to achieve their targets. |
| **Mitigating measures by the Department / Entity to remedy over / under expenditure** |
| During the quarter under review, the Department reported their accelerated plan, that they are partnering with agencies to facilitate the roll-out of some of the plans. |
| **The Department / Entity’s achievement with respect to GEYODI responsive budgeting / procurement for the period under review** |
| The Department did not manage to achieve its procurement target for designated groups as follows: * Women is 29%
* Youth is 16% and
* People with Disability is 7%.
 |
| **The Department / Entity’s achievement with respect to township economy / SMME / local procurement for the period under review** |
| The Department did not manage to achieve its targets on the SMME/**Local** procurement by 65,02% |
| **A summary for the period under review with respect to payment of service providers within 15-30 days** |
| The Department achieved its target on percentage of invoices paid within 30 days of receipt. They reported that 100% of the invoices received were paid within 30 days of receipt. Out of 627 invoices received, 627 were paid. |
| **A summary for the period under review with respect to fruitless, wasteful and irregular expenditure** |
| Fruitless and wasteful expenditure: The Department did not report on this line item. |
| **A summary for the period under review with respect to efficiency / value for money in all SCM / procurement processes** |
| The Department report did not report on this line item. |
| **A summary for the period under review with respect to reduction of fraud and corruption in all SCM / procurement processes** |
| None reported  |
| **A summary for the period under review with respect to ongoing clean audits** |
| None reporting for the quarter under review |
| **A summary for the period under review with respect to spending on conditional grants (where applicable)** |
| The Department made a concerted effort to ensure full utilisation of the conditional grants. Spending on conditional grants was a major contributor to the over expenditure for the quarter.  |
| **Program / Sub Programme level financial performance** |
| Programme 1: was allocated **R129 739 000. 00** and the Department spent **R166 823 000. 00** registering an expenditure of 129%. This shows that the programme overspent by 29%.Programme 2: was allocated **R5 907 000. 00** and the Department managed to spend **R3 413 000. 00** registering an under expenditure of 42%. Programme 3: was allocated **R1 344 888 000. 00** but the Department spent **R1 991 308 000. 00** registering an expenditure of 148%.**Programme** 4: was allocated **R45 680 000. 00** but the Department spent **R78 063 000. 00** registering 171% expenditure. |

# 5 OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY RESOLUTIONS AND PETITIONS MANAGEMENT

|  |
| --- |
| **5.1 THE DETAILS ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY RESOLUTIONS MANAGEMENT** |
| **How many Responses / Actions to Resolutions were due by the Department / Entity during the Quarter under review** | **With respect to any and all Resolutions that were due in the Quarter under review, how many Resolutions have been successfully responded to by the Department / Entity** |
| 19 Resolutions were due during the quarter under review | All 19 resolutions were received and the Committee Researcher still to analyse them. |
| **What is the Committees perception of the Quality and Timeliness of Department / Entity responses to Committee Resolutions** |
| The Department is encouraged to continue improving on unachieved plans |
| **With respect to the Resolutions / Action due during the Quarter under review but still overdue, what reasons have been provided by the Department / Entity [with mitigating measures to submission]** |
| Resolutions were all submitted in the quarter under review |

|  |
| --- |
| **5.2 THE DETAILS ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY PETITIONS MANAGEMENT** |
| **How many Responses / Actions to Petitions due by the Department / Entity during the Quarter under review** | **With respect to any and all Petitions that were due in the Quarter under review, how many Petitions have been successfully responded to by the Department / Entity** |
| A total of 21 petitions were received and 08 not yet responded to.  | 13 petitions were responded to. |
| **What is the Committees perception of the Quality and Timeliness of Department / Entity responses to referred Petitions** |
| Not stipulated |
| **With respect to the Petitions / Action due during the Quarter under review but not yet responded to by the Department / Entity, what reasons have been provided by the Department / Entity [with mitigating measures to submission]** |
| No reasons provided |

# 6 OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY PUBLIC ENGAGEMENT

|  |
| --- |
| **6. THE DETAILS ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY PUBLIC ENGAGEMENTS** |
| **The steps / measures the Department / Entity has taken to meaningfully involve the public / stakeholders in the course of its work / service delivery, during the period under review** |
| The Committee noted the following public engagements that the Department attended to:* Regular engagements with the public and stakeholders took place this quarter, to inform them of progress on housing developments, resolve challenges and backlogs and educate the general public, beneficiaries and stakeholders about the Department, its challenges and its offerings. The Department proactively communicates on a daily basis to the public through marketing initiatives, publications, media engagements, enquiries and its social media accounts on a variety of human settlements related matters. The Departments Customer Support Centres in all 5 Regions also meaningfully engage beneficiaries and potential beneficiaries on a daily basis.
 |
| **Summary of Public Education programmes of the Department / Entity during the period under review** |
| * During the quarter under review the following public education programmes were embarked on; how to protect your house during the rainy season, how to protect your title deed, how to report corruption in the housing value chain, get your jab campaign, inquire about your title deed, how to contact us and access housing opportunities, feedback on abandoned and unfinished housing projects in the Province, exposing fake and fraudulent housing notices, no to illegal land invasion, how to access FLISP and the Rapid Land Release Program and a Did you know campaign.
 |
| **Feedback sessions conducted by the Department / Entity** **during the period under review** |
| * Feedback and awareness sessions with the public were held as follows; Hammanskraal ABT house handover and engagement 10 January, National Portfolio Committee visit to the Province to do oversight and give feedback 24-28 January, the Department and its entity GPF’s Stakeholder Day 23 February, meeting with Mawiga Councillors 8 March, Mawiga Title Deeds Handover and public engagement 10 March.
* Outreach programmes and feedback sessions were also implemented under the Nthirisano Programme, as post SOPA Activities and included Title Deeds handovers, Housing Handovers and Feedback Sessions as follows: Sokhulume visit 12 March, Soshanguve Tittle Deed handover 10 March, Savannah City in the South West of Johannesburg 18 March and Afri Village Development on the West Rand 24 March.
 |

# 7 OVERSIGHT ON INTERNATIONAL TREATISE / AGREEMENTS

| **7. DETAILS ON IMPLEMENTATION OF INTERNATIONAL AGREEMENTS / TREATIES**  |
| --- |
| [Not applicable] |

# 8 OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY GEYODI EMPOWERMENT

| **8. DETAILS ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY GEYODI EMPOWERMENT** |
| --- |
| **Name of PWDs companies awarded work in Q4:**1. Linked Thoughts Consulting cc (100%)
2. Gladafrica Consulting Engineers (100%)

**Incubator Contractors:** **Housing allocations for Q4:0****Senior Citizens:**Allocation of houses for Q4**:** 105 Houses |

# 9 OVERSIGHT ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY COMPLIANCE WITH FIDUCIARY REQUIREMENTS

| **9. [DETAILS ON DEPARTMENT / ENTITY COMPLIANCE WITH FIDUCIARY REQUIREMENTS]** |
| --- |
| **GPL** | The 4th Quarterly Report for Vote 8 was submitted to the GPL for further processing  |
| **Auditor General (AGSA)** | Total number of AGSA Requests for Information received from AGSA during this Quarter: 30Total number of AGSA Requests for Information due during this Quarter : 30Total number of AGSA Requests for Information responded to and submitted back to AGSA during this Quarter: 30  |
| **Public Service Commission (PSC)** | Total number of PSC Requests for Information received from the PSC during this Quarter: NoneTotal number of PSC Requests for Information due during this Quarter: NoneTotal number of PSC Requests for Information responded to and submitted back to the PSC during this Quarter due end of the quarter: One (1) |
| **Compliance with relevant fiduciary Legislation [e.g. PFMA]** | Compliant  |

# 10 OVERSIGHT ON A CAPACITATED PUBLIC SERVICE

| **10. THE DETAILS ON A CAPACITATED DEPARTMENT / ENTITY** |
| --- |
| **Detailed information on the current vacancies (at all staff levels)** |
| 880 |
| **Current vacancy rate** |
| 172 |
| **Current acting positions (at all Staff levels)** |
| 3 |
| **Terminations during the period under review** |
| 15  |
| **New appointments during the period under review** |
| 9 |
| **Detailed information on the GEYODI / HDI empowerment for the period under review** |
| As at end of March 2022 the Department had 708 employees of which 18(2,54%) are PWDs, furthermore 69(9,75%) employees are youth. The department had a total of 50 Senior Managers of which 24(48%) are females and 26 (52%) are males. |
| **Detailed information on any suspensions for the period under review** |
| 0 |

# 11 OVERSIGHT ON ANY OTHER COMMITTEE FOCUS AREA

|  |
| --- |
| **11. THE DETAILS ON ANY OTHER COMMITTEE FOCUS AREA [Only if relevant and applicable]** |
| *Any other area of Department / Entity performance with respect to its Quarter Report that the Committee wishes to report on, which is not already included in any of the above Focus Areas.* |
| N/A |

# 12 COMMITTEE FINDINGS / CONCERNS

| **12. DETAILED COMMITTEE FINDINGS / CONCERNS (on each specific Focus Area analysed above)** |
| --- |
| 1. The Portfolio Committee noted that there is misalignment between budget expenditure and planned targets achieved noting that during the quarter under review the Department spent 147% and underperformed.
 |
| 1. No clear plan on abandoned / unfinished projects.
 |
| 1. No clear plan on dealing with fraud and corruption within the Department.
 |
| 1. Lack of clear strategy to avoid invasion of housing units.
 |
| 1. The Portfolio Committee noted with concern that Department is unable to meet its targets since 2020/21 FY.
 |
| 1. No clear report on the targets that are set and overachieved.
 |
| 1. Lack of reporting on which flats were maintained during the quarter review and how much was spent
 |
| 1. No clear report on housing allocation projects that are still under construction and those that are yet to be finished within the financial year of 2021/2022 FY.
 |

# 13 COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS

| **13 [DETAILED COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATIONS]** |
| --- |
| **Based on the information set out herein-above as well as the Committee Concerns, the Committee therefore recommends as follows:** |
| **Ref Number** | **Recommendation** | **Type of response expected** | **Due Date** |
| HS/Q4PR/ 001 | The Department should submit a remedial action plan to avoid misalignment between budget expenditure and planned targets achieved. Furthermore, account on the over expenditure as opposed to under performance. | Written Response should be forwarded to the GPL on the resolution as adopted by the House | 29/07/2022 |
| HS/Q4PR/ 002 | Provide an audit report of all the abandoned / unfinished projects and the financial implications thereof as well as a plans to finish the projects with timeframes. | Written Response should be forwarded to the GPL on the resolution as adopted by the House | 29/07/2022 |
| HS/Q4PR/ 003 | Provide a detailed report and strategy to combat fraud and corruption within the department. | Written Response should be forwarded to the GPL on the resolution as adopted by the House | 29/07/2022 |
| HS/Q4PR/ 004 | Provide a mechanism used to avoid invasion of housing units. | Written Response should be forwarded to the GPL on the resolution as adopted by the House | 29/07/2022 |
| HS/Q4PR/ 005 | Provide the Committee with reasons for not being able to meet its targets since 2020/21 FY. | Written Response should be forwarded to the GPL on the resolution as adopted by the House | 29/07/2022 |
| HS/Q4PR/ 006 | The Department should account on what informs the targets that are set and overachieved. | Written Response should be forwarded to the GPL on the resolution as adopted by the House | 29/07/2022 |
| HS/Q4PR/ 007 | Provide the Committee with names of flats that were maintained during the quarter review and how much was spent | Written Response should be forwarded to the GPL on the resolution as adopted by the House | 29/07/2022 |
| HS/Q4PR/ 008 | Provide a detailed report on housing allocation projects that are still under construction and those that are yet to be finished within the financial year of 2021/2022 FY. | Written Response should be forwarded to the GPL on the resolution as adopted by the House | 29/07/2022 |
|  |
|  |

# 14 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The CoGTA and Human Settlements Portfolio Committee wishes to thank the MEC for CoGTA and Human Settlements, Mr. L Maile, the Head of Department, Ms. P Mbanjwa and her team.

The Chairperson further wishes to acknowledge and express her gratitude to the Honourable Members of the Portfolio Committee on CoGTA and Human Settlements Ms B Mncube; Mr G Schneemann; Mr P Malema; Mr M Cirota; Mr S Msimanga; Mr B. Dhlamini; Ms K Tong; Mr M Ledwaba; Mr D Adams; and Ms A De Lange.

Furthermore, the Portfolio Committee would like to express appreciation for the contribution of the following support staff members: Group Committee Coordinator Ms. Z Pantshwa-Mbalo; Senior Researcher Ms. S Nenweli, Committee Researcher Ms. A Gwebani, Senior Committee Coordinator Ms. J. Moteke; Committee Administrator, Ms N. Nzimakwe; Service Officer, Ms C. Mnethwa; Hansard Recorder, Mr. N Mbonani; Senior Information officer, Mr Lebogang Ncume; and Media Officer; Ms. P Bulasigobo for their dedication and commitment.

# 15 ADOPTION

In terms of Rule 117 (2)(c) read together with 164, the CoGTA and Human Settlements Portfolio Committee presents the Oversight Report on the 4th Quarterly Performance Report of the Gauteng Department of Human Settlements for the 2021/22 financial year and recommends its adoption.

1. The Constitution of the RSA (1996) Act 108 of 1996 [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Sector Oversight Model [↑](#footnote-ref-2)